## **UNITED STATES**

1

Ranking by Total Funding among Donors Tracked 10

Ranking by Share of GNI among Donors Tracked





Funding Pledged in USD Millions<sup>1,4</sup>

572

% of Funds Disbursed

79.40

Deadline Set for Disbursal? Health Care Workers Committed?



Information of 2,200 volunteers willing to provide health care passed on; US training site in Liberia will train up to 500 HCW/week; supported transport of about 100 HCW to region

Who Gets the Money<sup>2</sup>

AU; Bi; CDC; N; H; C; F; W Clear Budget Sources?



Additional to Health Budget?<sup>3</sup>



In-Kind Contributions?



1,100 military personnel; 1,700 beds; 140,000 PPE sets; 10,000 test kits; 50,000 community health kits

Current to the best of our knowledge as of Mon, 8 Dec.

Key recommendation:

Donors must clearly articulate:

- the source and destination of intended funds;
- whether funds are additional to amounts already committed to health, the region, or other development efforts;
- and timelines for intended disbursal.

The UN also urgently needs more investment in its central coordination services to track, monitor and coordinate funds for Ebola.

Significant Contributions

Some Contributions

Insufficient or No Contributions

Pledges collated from data sources including: OCHA, UN, WB trackers; press releases, information from government representatives, conversations with technical bodies and other research. Full breakdown of amounts available upon request.

Key: N = NGO; Bi = bilateral funding; W = WHO; F = WFP; C = UNICEF; O = United Nations Office for the Coordination of

Humanitarian Affairs (OCHA); H = UNHCR; M = Ebola Multi-Partner Trust Fund (MPTF)

Indicates whether or not a country has taken money already committed for global health programs and reallocated it towards the Ebola response. It is worth noting, however, that while these funds may not be replacing existing health commitments, it is possible that they are replacing existing commitments for other development priorities. Future versions of this tracker may provide greater detail on this aspect of our work.å

US: President Obama has requested \$6.2 billion from Congress for the Ebola response; however, it is not yet clear what amount will go towards the domestic versus global response, and this money has not yet been approved.

Most banks and foundations are set up to give financial contributions and are not necessarily set up to facilitate the passage of health care workers or to provide in-kind contributions, which is why we have marked these as N/A rather than red. We will keep monitoring the kinds of contributions given by foundations and banks and will update the table to reflect non-cash contributions if and when they occur.

Germany: It is unclear to what extent all of these are medical staff versus volunteers helping on other aspects of the response.

Japan: Has allocated up to \$100 million to help with the Ebola response and more long-term rebuilding efforts, but it is not clear how much will be for the emergency response.

China: Some of this total may include the value of in-kind assistance, but the breakdown is unclear.