## **DP171 Namulonge, Uganda (Project Restore)**

Advisor	Date	Comment
Advisor		It is revised but still has mistakes and some points are unclear.  a. Need coordinates of the sub-villages b. Need number of nets/sub-village c. if they receive the requested 1500 nets, this will give 50%coverage (total population 3178), not 80% that they argue. Unless, if they count the 1300 nets delivered in 2008. d. I am not sure if the distribution and post-
		distribution is going to work smoothly. It is only one person involved in the whole process, Annete Malijjo- the village clinic head nurse. She also helped with the population statistics (!), sounds like a power woman to me. She might need help from others.  My opinion: this proposal is quite weak, Can they provide the numbers of nets/sub-village? They should have part of this information from the 2008-distribution. Otherwise, I am not sure if the nets will be delivered where they should be.
	17 Sep 2010	The request is fine particularly given that this is a hard to reach area that is really disadvantaged. I cannot understand why the Project is not aiming for 100% coverage? Is there a reason as only 378 nets more will be needed in addition to the 1,500 that ahs been requested.
		Response from Rob: Can we go back to them and see if their fundraising (additional reqd?) will allow them to achieve the number of nets required for universal coverage?
		Response from Catherine
		The 80% coverage vs. 100% coverage goal came from a World Vision analysis earlier this year that highlighted a marked decrease in the disease when at least 80 percent of a community's households

were covered with insecticide-treated bed nets. Project Restore does not believe that we will be able to raise enough money to purchase the amount of nets required for a 100% coverage during this vear's campaign.

29 Sep 2010 I have read all the three proposals and I am no important comments. Happy for them to proceed.

01 Oct 2010 Uganda (major concerns)

- · 3. Who is writing the proposal? It appears that this is being done from 'outside' is no ownership
- 4. High risk malaria: no evidence
- · 4. The applicant does not appear to know how to do malaria testing. Note malaria testing on blood culture.
- 8. Discordance between the applicant and situation. In number 6, it states that there is no net distribution. And yet, in 8. The headmaster states that net distribution has been done by the government.
- 10. Cannot afford to buy nets???

Rob's comments added:

Uganda (major concerns)

· 3. Who is writing the proposal? It appears that this is being done from 'outside' is no ownership

It is not unusual for the proposal to be written by someone outside of the immediate community. Ownership' by the community is indeed very important and we look for that in a number of the questions in the proposal form For example: are the village chiefs involved, local health people too, and will they have prominent/leading roles in the distribution itself, and is their evidence of a household by household survey to determine the number of sleeping spaces needing nets. Perhaps point Julie to elements of the form that show that this is the case, or make sure we have that evidence from Catherine Keck.

4. High risk malaria: no evidence

I believe we have asked Catherine for this ie get data from the local clinic/s that serves the area.

· 4. The applicant does not appear to know how to do malaria testing. Note malaria testing on blood culture.

Can you find out what malaria testing involves and what Julie is picking up on here ie maybe it is just imprecise words form Catherine. Pls ask Catherine is she knows that the lab techniciash know how to perform the relevant testing.

 8. Discordance between the applicant and situation. In number 6, it states that there is no net distribution. And yet, in 8. The headmaster states that net distribution has been done by the government.

This is I think because the information at the end of Q8 was recently added. Good point that this means the form must be gone through by Catherine to bring info up to date, adjust where necessary, to make sure it is consistent.

24 Sep 2010 I don't think the two African proposals have really considered what to do about people which already have nets. It seems to me that it is best to check the quality of nets already in the community and give the new nets out to people with badly worn nets or no nets. This needs to be approached sensitively. It does not make sense to replace a perfectly functioning net with a new one.