

TEMPLATE FOR RESPONSE SHEET ONGOING PROJECTS

Response Sheet to Monitoring Report / MR-002198.02)

I. Intervention data (to be filled in by the ROM contractor).

CRIS Decision Number		CRIS Contract Number	FOOD/127-127
Project Title	Building Resilience and Community Engagement (BRACE)	Task Manager	Georges DEHOUX
Geographical zone	SL	Project Authority	CONCERN
		Monitoring Report Type:	Project Ongoing
		Monitor	Pernille Nagel SOERENSEN
Date of Report	10/07/2009	Monitoring Visit date	08/06/2009 - 19/06/2009

II. Assessment of general quality of Monitoring Report (to be filled in by EC Task Manager, use the white spaces only)

	<i>Explanatory comments</i>	Grades (1) <i>(a-b-c-d)</i>
In general terms, please comment:		
Is the MR easy to read and understand?	Clear and complete	b
Are the key observations and recommendations useful and realistic?	Useful and realistic, but some depend on further financing which is far from secured at this stage	b
In particular, comment the MR following each of the criteria:		
Relevance and Quality of Design:	Relevance is well established, particularly in the actual country and District context. Quality/relevance of design vs other options could have been analysed in more details.	b
Efficiency of implementation to date:	Complete and positive	a
Effectiveness to date:	Well detailed but could there have been other strategic options (access to market for example)?	b
Impact prospects:	Clear and positive. May be more elaboration on institutional interventions' impact prospect.	a
Potential Sustainability:	Clear and positive. I actually think a bit too positive. Technically, the sustainability of self-help feeder roads and marketing interventions is questionable. The institutional aspects are also a concern (as mentioned in the report but may be without enough emphasis, especially when it comes to Government role).	b
1Note: a = very good; b = good; c = problems; d = deficiencies;		Overall summary:
		b

III. Future planning / follow up (to be filled in by EC Task Manager, use the white spaces only):

Key observations/ recommendations	Response by Task Manager		
	Is the recommendation going to be adopted? Yes/No, explain why	If yes, By whom	If yes, when
(ROM contractor to copy all recommendations from Monitoring Report in boxes below) CONCERN should: i) Continue support to the TDC, LA and People's Forum;	Yes. Concern has a long term commitment to this in Tonkolili through its own funding/program	Concern	Continuous
ii) Implement market activities in the villages targeted in Phase 1;	The NGO hasn't really reacted on this recommendation. Within the actual project life, it is unlikely this can be implemented. However, a six-month no-cost extension is under preparation and should include such a focus	Concern	Nov 09 to Jun 10
iii) Implement the food security component in new villages (including nutritional training);	Same comment as above. In addition, Concern has recently conducted a detail nutritional assessment in the District to serve as basis for future training for pregnant women, lactating mothers and women groups. Introduction of a high vitamin A potato will help to improve the nutritional component of BRACE.	Concern	Nov 09 to Jun 10
iv) Continue working towards a solution for the supply of animal drugs to para-vets;	As mentioned in the report, this is a national problem. The issue has to be elevated to the Ministry of Agriculture for their action.	MAFFS	?
v) Continue doing capacity building of local partners and work towards a partnership approach.	Again, within the actual project life (end Feb 2010), no much more can be achieved. However, the ECD is intending to allocate remaining FSTP funds to finance a consolidation phase for this project, to start by mid-2010 and to focus on institutional aspects. A no-cost extension of the actual project will ensure continuity of interventions.	ECD	mid-2010

Next visit suggested: (please mark with X, only one choice / option possible)	9 months <i>(Only applicable in ENPI East and IPA region)</i>	12 months	Longer (or expost)
			<i>Eventually expost</i>
Special attention to be paid in the next visit to the following aspects: impact and sustainability			

IV. Feed back from other stakeholders and further comments and suggestions (to be filled in by EC Task Manager, use the white spaces only):

Please specify if you got any feed back/comments on the Monitoring Report from the partner government, implementing partner or project manager (Please forward any written report from them, it can be uploaded in CRIS).	Comments received on 02/09/2009. (attached)
Please comment / suggest any other aspect you deem relevant, e.g. mission organisation, logistics, ROM methodology, team performance...	/

Name of EC Task Manager:

G. Dehoux

Date: 11/09/2009