INCOME IMPACT ANALYSIS - WEST BENGAL

Methodology

IDEI carried out an Income Impact study to understand the following issues:

1. Income generated through use of the IDEI promoted technology KB Treadle Pump (KBTP)
2. Land brought under irrigation and cultivation using these technologies
3. Various crops grown and diversity
4. Plot sizes for various crops
5. Quantity sold for each of the crops and prices obtained
6. Cost of cultivation for each of the crops
7. Components of cost of cultivation were also gathered and analyzed
8. Individual crop profitability was analyzed

Present study is based on findings from a random sample of 51 smallholders which is a part of total sample of 996.

Incomes reported are exclusively agricultural earnings through use of KBTP for irrigation. Both gross income and net income after deduction of investments have been recorded for all crops. All cost of cultivation, including labour based and input based costs were gathered. Data on income, investments or any monetary transactions are in ₹. Income mentioned for the state is median value of net annual incomes.

Key Findings

- Median net annual income for smallholder TP farmers was ₹ 20,440, minimum being ₹16,500.

- Income was independent of the period of usage of TP, and cropped area as well

- 86% of the smallholders cultivated high value crops, predominantly vegetables
Cost of cultivation was 27% of gross returns from crops on an average.

Plant nutrients (40.62%), seed material (18.55%) and pesticides (13.31%) were the major components.

92% of the smallholders cultivated three or more crops for a given period of usage.

45.4% of crop plots were less than or equal to 0.25 acre and 90.7% less than or equal to 0.5 acre.

Crop Planning & selection were major determinants of income.

**Income Pattern**

**Income and Usage Period**

With an objective to understand if period of usage of TP mattered, respondents have been categorised into four groups, i.e. users below six months, 6-12 months, 1-1.5 yr and 1.5-2 yr based on the period they have used the TP. Net income of users during the usage period was analysed to understand if income was proportionate to period of usage. Income was found to be independent of period of usage of TP. Smallholders who earned higher were from all the four categories.

Net income figures during the usage periods were then extrapolated to estimate annual incomes of the smallholders, from their respective cropped areas. Analysis of the income estimates showed that all the customers using TP had a net annual income greater than ₹16,000. The lowest net annual income was ₹ 16,500. Median net annual income for the small holders was found to be ₹ 20,440.
**Income and Cropping Area**

Apart from period of cropping/usage of TP, an attempt was made to understand if the gross cropped area (GCA) was a key determinant to income. GCA here refers to the total area under all crops grown by a farmer in a given period (in which TP is used). Hence GCA as a probable factor was analysed.

GCA for the customers studied varied from as low as 0.25 to 2.99 acre, depending on factors such as period of cropping, no. of crops, cultivable land available, etc. Net annual incomes from respective cropped areas were extrapolated to get net annual incomes per acre for selected set of smallholders (Figure 1.2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Net Annual Income Per Acre</th>
<th>% Customers in the Income Category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt; ₹ 15,000</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>₹ 15,000 - ₹ 30,000</td>
<td>29.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>₹ 30,000 - ₹ 50,000</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; ₹ 50,000</td>
<td>29.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Among the smallholders who earned above ₹ 50,000 per acre annually, 20% cultivated a gross area upto 0.5 acre and 73.3% cultivated 0.5 to 1 acre. This hints at the fact that smallholders with just an acre of cropping area can earn potentially well and income is independent of area put to cultivation. Figure 1.3 further explains this.

GCA was categorized into five classes, i.e. less than 0.5 acre, 0.5 to 1 acre, 1 to 1.5 acre, 1.5 to 2 acre and greater than 2 acre. The idea was to study income variations with respect to GCA. Net annual incomes from respective cropped areas were extrapolated to obtain net annual incomes per acre for selected set of smallholders.
Figure 1.3 shows that all the smallholders with just 0.5 acre of GCA or even lesser, earned a minimum of ₹ 50,000 per acre annually. In case of smallholders with GCA between 0.5 to 1 acre, 29% earned in the range of ₹ 15,000 to ₹ 30,000, 40% in the range of ₹ 30,000 to ₹ 50,000 and 31% above ₹ 50,000. Overall, 29.4% of all the smallholder farmers earned above ₹ 50,000 per year per acre, and of these 42% had GCA less than or equal to 0.5 acre and 50% had GCA 0.5-1.0 acre. Hence GCA was not a determinant for net income per acre.

It was observed that smallholder farmers with smaller agricultural lands did relatively better than those with larger cultivable areas. The former group exercises optimum utilisation of the limited resources available to earn the maximum. They usually go for priority cultivation, need based crop selection, etc. which fetches them higher returns.

**Cropping Pattern**

**Cropping Intensity**

Prior to adoption of treadle pump the smallholders usually practiced rainfed agriculture with limited number of crops. With treadle pump irrigation was not only assured but easier. Hence the users cultivated crops throughout the year, which resulted in higher cropping intensity.

Figure 2.1 explains the total number of crops that were taken up by the smallholders who had used TP for different periods.
Overall, only 8% of the smallholders cultivated two crops for a given period of usage. Of these 8%, 50% had used TP just for six months or less. 76% of the smallholders cultivated three crops of which 72% had used TP for six months to one year. 10% cultivated four crops of which 60% had used TP for one to one and a half year. 4% cultivated five crops of which all had used TP for minimum one year; and only 2% cultivated six crops.

Most of the smallholders cultivated crops in smaller plots in order to take up more number of crops. Selection of different crops was a kind of risk mitigation strategy by these smallholders, such as insurance against crop failure, and also to meet the cash needs throughout the year. Approximately 91% of the crop plots were less than or equal to 0.5 acre in size (11.2% of the crop plots were smaller than 0.15 acre, 34.2% between 0.15 to 0.25 acre and 45.3% between 0.25 to 0.5 acre), and these plots constituted 76% of the total cultivated area studied. Less than 3% of the crop plots were greater than an acre. (Figure 2.2)

**Crop Plot Sizes (Fig. 2.2)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crop Plot Size</th>
<th>% of Plots</th>
<th>% of Acreage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;=0.15 acre</td>
<td>11.2%</td>
<td>60.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;0.15-&lt;=0.25 acre</td>
<td>21.3%</td>
<td>34.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;0.25-&lt;=0.5 acre</td>
<td>45.3%</td>
<td>51.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;0.5-&lt;=0.75</td>
<td>10.9%</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;0.75 acre-&lt;1.0 acre</td>
<td>10.2%</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;1.0 acre</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Period of Usage and No. of Crops Grown (Fig. 2.1)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period of Usage</th>
<th>No. of Crops Grown</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;6 months</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-12 months</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-1.5 yrs</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5-2 yrs</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;=2 yrs</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;=3 yrs</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Crop Portfolio

Most of the smallholders cultivated high value crops using treadle pump. Vegetable crops were predominant. Twenty three different crops were grown using TP. Figure 2.3 shows the different category of crops grown using TP.

**Crop Categories (Fig. 2.3)**

Most popular crops in the region were chilli, eggplant and paddy. Crops like banana, spinach, pointed gourd, cucumber and ivy gourd, though highly profitable were rarely grown. Profitability from such crops was more than three times that of popular crops. Probably this is one of the areas where the smallholders, if trained, can earn potentially higher.

**Crop Popularity and Profitability (Fig. 2.4)**
Margins

Cost of Cultivation

Cost of Cultivation of a crop is the total cost incurred by the smallholders in raising a crop and marketing it. So the costs start right from land preparation activities for any given crop. Average cost of cultivation for the region was found to be 27% of the gross returns, which indicates that the small holders made margins upto 73%. All the cost components were studied and categorised into two groups namely labour based and input based. Figure 3.1 explains the various cost components.

Cost Components in Cultivation (Fig. 3.1)

Amongst all components cost incurred on plant nutrients, which includes the cost of fertilizers and manures) was the highest (41%) followed by cost on seed (19%) and cost of pesticides (13%). Cost incurred on labour based cultivation components which includes ploughing, sowing, interculture and harvesting accounted for 10% of CoC.

Selling Price

Prices at which the smallholders sold their produce varied from farmer to farmer for different crops. On an average maximum price obtained for any crop was three times that of minimum price. Chilli, tobacco and capsicum were some of the crops for which maximum price fluctuations was observed.
Breakeven Price

Breakeven Price (BEP) for any agricultural produce is the minimum price a farmer must get so that he recovers all the costs incurred in producing and selling the crop. So any price above BEP ensures profits to the smallholders. For the crops that were grown and sold by the smallholders, selling price was always higher than the BEP. Hence the smallholders made profits even at the minimum selling price. Few farmers, who received higher prices, could do that either because of better quality produce or better market timings. Figure 3.2 shows the minimum & maximum selling prices and average values of BEPs for various crops.

Conclusion

Smallholders in the region now cultivated year round. Increased cropping coupled with selection of high value crops increased the incomes. But that can be further increased if following areas are addressed

- Reducing costs incurred on plant nutrients (which was found to be 41% of total cost of cultivation)
- Adoption of crops which were found to be more profitable
- Information on markets and market prices so that smallholders have good bargaining power
Reducing the cost of cultivation for crops like maize, french bean, bitter gourd, and paddy and potato in particular (since the crops are taken up by a good number of smallholders) which were found to be much higher than that of others.