Reviews in Medical Virology

REUIEW



Human papillomavirus molecular biology and disease association

John Doorbar*, Nagayasu Egawa, Heather Griffin, Christian Kranjec and Isao Murakami

Department of Pathology, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK

SUMMARY

Human papillomaviruses (HPVs) have evolved over millions of years to propagate themselves in a range of different animal species including humans. Viruses that have co-evolved slowly in this way typically cause chronic inapparent infections, with virion production in the absence of apparent disease. This is the case for many Beta and Gamma HPV types. The Alpha papillomavirus types have however evolved immunoevasion strategies that allow them to cause persistent visible papillomas. These viruses activate the cell cycle as the infected epithelial cell differentiates in order to create a replication competent environment that allows viral genome amplification and packaging into infectious particles. This is mediated by the viral E6, E7, and E5 proteins. High-risk E6 and E7 proteins differ from their low-risk counterparts however in being able to drive cell cycle entry in the upper epithelial layers and also to stimulate cell proliferation in the basal and parabasal layers. Deregulated expression of these cell cycle regulators underlies neoplasia and the eventual progression to cancer in individuals who cannot resolve high-risk HPV infection. Most work to date has focused on the study of high-risk HPV types such as HPV 16 and 18, which has led to an understanding of the molecular pathways subverted by these viruses. Such approaches will lead to the development of better strategies for disease treatment, including targeted antivirals and immunotherapeutics. Priorities are now focused toward understanding HPV neoplasias at sites other than the cervix (e.g. tonsils, other transformation zones) and toward understanding the mechanisms by which low-risk HPV types can sometimes give rise to papillomatosis and under certain situations even cancers. © 2015 The Authors. *Reviews in Medical Virology* Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

Received: 25 March 2014; Revised: 12 June 2014; Accepted: 25 June 2014

INTRODUCTION

Papillomaviruses comprise a diverse group of viruses that infect both humans and animals. Their origin appears linked to changes in the epithelium of their ancestral host as the first reptiles emerged around 350 million years ago. Since then, they have co-evolved with their respective hosts, with little cross-transfer between species, and are now found in birds, reptiles, marsupials, and mammals, but

Abbreviations used

BCC, basal cell carcinoma; BD, Bowen's disease; cAMP, cyclic AMP; CIN, cervical intraepithelial neoplasia; CR1, conserved region 1; CR2, conserved region 2; E, early (viral genome); HN, head and neck; HPV, human papillomavirus; L, late (viral genome); LCR, long control region; LSIL, low-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion; PAE, polyadenylation early; PAL, polyadenylation late; PBM, PDZ binding motif; RRP, recurrent respiratory papillomatosis; SCC, squamous cell carcinomas.

not in amphibians or lower phylogenetic orders (Figure 1A) [1]. Viruses that slowly evolve with their hosts in this way typically cause chronic inapparent infections, rather than serious disease [2]. This is the case for many if not most papillomaviruses, and indeed, HPVs can be isolated from skin swabs and plucked hairs from normal immunocompetent individuals in the general population [3,4]. As a result of such observations, it is thought that many HPVs may in fact persist in the population as commensals rather than being associated with obvious disease pathology [4,5].

The study of HPVs has been driven not by these widespread inapparent infections, but by the severity to which some HPV-associated diseases can progress. Most significant of these is cervical cancer, which can result from persistent infection with a group of "high-risk" HPVs [6–8]. The low-risk HPV types, although not usually associated with cancer development, can cause problematic and debilitating disease in some individuals. The association of HPV type 11 with RRP is a key

© 2015 The Authors. Reviews in Medical Virology Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

^{*}Correspondence to: J. Doorbar, Department of Pathology, University of Cambridge, Tennis Court Road, Cambridge, CB2 1QP, UK. E-mail: jd121@cam.ac.uk

All authors contributed equally to the content of the review and are listed alphabetically.

The copyright line for this article was changed on 23 June 2016, after original online publication.




Figure 1. (A) Evolutionary tree showing the proposed appearance of an ancestral "papillomavirus" between the branch point leading to amphibians and reptiles. It is thought that virus/host co-evolution has occurred during speciation, and that this has led to the widespread distribution of papillomaviruses in organisms as diverse as snakes, birds, and mammals, (B) The human papillomaviruses types found in humans fall into five genera, with the Alpha and the Beta/Gamma genera representing the largest groups. Human papillomaviruses types from the Alpha genus are often classified as low-risk cutaneous (gray), low-risk mucosal (orange), or high-risk (pink). The high-risk types identified using red text are confirmed as "human carcinogens" on the basis of epidemiological data. The remaining high-risk types are "probable" or "possible" carcinogens. The evolutionary tree is based on alignment of the E1, E2, L1, and L2 genes [6], (C) Percentage of cervical cancers that are causally attributed to infection with members of the Alpha genus. Members of the Alpha 9 and 7 species have been studied most thoroughly

example of such a disease [8]. Although rare, children with RRP are unable to resolve their infection and need to be treated by repeat surgery to reduce papilloma size and to maintain a clear airway [8]. At present, there is no reliable treatment for HPV infections, except by complete surgical removal of the disease site. In the case of RRP, papillomas can persist for years or decades with regular recurrence after treatment, and in some individuals, it can eventually give rise to metastatic lesions in the lower airway and lung [9].

This review aims to provide an update of current thinking regarding the mechanisms underlying lesion formation by papillomaviruses, focusing in particular on the diversity of epithelial sites that these viruses infect and the diseases that they cause. As well as outlining the basic biology of these viruses, the review aims to clarify the key differences between high-risk Alpha papillomaviruses and low-risk papillomavirus types from Alpha and other genera, which we hope will explain why such viruses are associated with cancers less frequently. As part of this, the different mechanisms by which Beta papillomaviruses can sometimes cause cancer are discussed.

PAPILLOMAVIRUS DIVERSITY AND EPITHELIAL TROPISMS

Over 200 papillomaviruses have been identified and have been completely sequenced, including more than 150 HPV (see [10] and Papillomavirus Episteme (PaVE); http://pave.niaid.nih.gov/#home). Human types are divided into five genera based on differences in their DNA sequence, with individual types having a nucleotide sequence (sampled from the L1 gene) that is at least 10% dissimilar from that of other papillomaviruses [10]. The terms "serotype" and "strain" are not used to distinguish between papillomaviruses, and indeed, many papillomaviruses have not been characterized beyond the level of their DNA sequence. In recent years, sensitive detection methods have allowed the identification of a large number of new HPV types (primarily Beta and Gamma types) from swabs taken from cutaneous epithelium or from plucked hairs http://pave. niaid.nih.gov/#home. Beta types have almost doubled in number (from 25 to 45), whereas Gamma types have increased almost eightfold (from 7 to 54) over the last decade [11]. Although phylogeny provides insight into disease associations, closely related types can show distinct pathologies. HPV 6 and 11 share 85% sequence identity, but the former is found more commonly in anogenital warts than HPV 11, which is the primary cause of laryngeal papillomas. Similarly, HPV 13, which shares 78% sequence identity with HPV 6 and HPV 11, does not cause either anogenital warts or laryngeal papillomas [12,13], whereas HPV 7, which is 87% homologous to the mucosal type HPV 40, causes "butchers" warts at cutaneous sites. Tropisms are thought to be controlled primarily at the level of viral gene expression, with regulatory elements within the long control region (LCR) being an important determinant [12]. Regulation at the level of infectivity may also influence site of infection, with markedly different charge distributions being reported between cutaneous and mucosal virions [14]. Successful infection requires conformational changes in the capsid, followed by furin cleavage of the minor L2 capsid protein [15-17], which may also influence the tropisms of individual HPV types [15,16,18–22]. Although the diseases caused by specific HPV types sometimes occur at non-typical sites, this is uncommon, with lesions often exhibiting non-typical morphology and pathology [23]. The evolutionary relationship between HPV types and the cancer associations of the important Alpha genus are shown in Figure 1B and C.

VIRUS STRUCTURE AND GENOME ORGANIZATION

Virus structure

Despite the different disease associations, papillomavirus particles share a common non-enveloped icosahedral structure (50-60 nm diameter). Their genomes comprise double-stranded circles (episomes) of approximately 8000 base pairs, which contain eight or nine ORFs. Although gene number is limited by the small size of the papillomavirus genome (Figure 2A), the number of encoded proteins is much greater, as gene expression involves the use of multiple promoters and complex patterns of splicing (http://pave.niaid.nih.gov/ #home[24]). The fine structure mapping [25] shows the virus coat to contain 360 molecules of L1 protein arranged into 72 capsomeres, each made up of 5L1 molecules, which have a beta-jellyroll core reminiscent of other icosahedral viruses (Figure 2B [26]). Interactions between capsomeres require the C-terminal tail of the L1 protein, which extends




Figure 2. (A) Typical genome organization of the high-risk Alpha, Mu, and Beta HPV genomes. Although all share a common genetic organization, the size and position of the major ORFs can vary, with Beta HPV types lacking an E5 ORF. The positions of the major promoters are marked with arrows on the high-risk Alpha HPV genome map, with early and late polyadenylation sites marked as polyadenylation late and polyadenylation early, (B) Electron micrograph of negatively stained papillomavirus particles. Individual capsomeres within the capsid structure can just be visualized. Papillomavirus particles are approximately 55 nm diameter and are non-enveloped.

out toward neighboring capsomeres and links them at their base via disulfide bonds [26–29].

Human papillomavirus particles also contain a variable number of L2 molecules, which are not fully exposed on the surface of the virion, apart from their N-terminal 120 or so amino acids [30,31]. During infection, L2 becomes available for binding to the extracellular matrix and is cleaved by furin during the infection [16]. The major surface-exposed regions of L1 comprise a series of hypervariable amino acid loops that have diverged between different papillomavirus types, in response to host immune selection pressure, with antibodies raised to one HPV type binding to distantly related types only poorly. This has practical consequences for the current prophylactic vaccines, which offer limited cross-protection. The virus genome also encodes regulatory proteins that stimulate cell cycle entry and cell proliferation, as well as proteins that mediate virus genome replication, virus assembly, and probably, also effective virus release and transmission. Although many of these genes are contained within the early region of the virus, the L2 gene product also has key immediate-early functions in viral genome delivery within the cell and also a role (along with E2) in orchestrating proper genome packaging [32].

Genome organization

Individual ORFs within the viral genome are designated early or late [11], with the lack of an E3 ORF reflecting an initial sequencing error in the BPV1 genome. Despite variation in the size and number of ORFs, all papillomaviruses contain well-conserved core genes involved in replication (i.e. E1 and E2) and packaging (i.e. L1 and L2) with greater diversity in the remaining genes (i.e. E6, E7, E5, and E4), which have roles in driving cell cycle entry, immune evasion, and virus release [6]. E1 encodes a virus-specific DNA helicase necessary for viral genome replication

and amplification, and like L1 (the major capsid protein), is highly conserved. E2, which can bind to sites in both the viral and cellular genome, is conserved between HPV types in its N-terminal and C-terminal domains and functions in viral transcription, replication, and genome partitioning. As with most HPV gene products, the functions of E2 are dependent on its interaction with cellular gene products and in modifying their normal roles to the benefit of the virus. The remaining genes encode proteins that modify the cellular environment or perform other functions during the life cycle of different papillomaviruses. E6 and E7 can be regulated at the transcriptional level by E2, and play a critical role in driving cell cycle entry in all HPV types to allow genome amplification in the mid-layers of the epithelium, and to inhibit aspects of innate immunity. Interestingly, Beta papillomaviruses lack a recognizable E5 ORF, which in the Alpha genera is located downstream of E2, and which along with E6 and E7, is involved in immune evasion and in optimizing genome amplification efficiency. The E4 protein (which plays a role in virus escape from the epithelial surface), like E5, shows considerable sequence heterogeneity between types, which is thought to reflect the different tropisms and transmission routes of different papillomaviruses [33,34]. Perhaps more surprisingly, given its importance in genome amplification, the HPV E6 protein is absent in HPV 101, 103, and 108 (Gamma genera [11,35]). The papillomavirus LCR is located between the end of L1 and the start of the early region and contains promoter elements, transcription factor binding sites (including palindromic sequences recognized by E2), and the viral origin of replication (to which E1 can bind), with some animal papillomaviruses (e.g. canine oral papillomavirus) containing an additional noncoding region between the end of the early region and the start of L2. Considerable heterogeneity exists between the positions of promoters and of splice donor and acceptor sites, which reflects the distinct evolutionary path of each HPV type [24,34].

HUMAN PAPILLOMAVIRUSES INFECTION AND CLINICAL MANIFESTATIONS OF DISEASE

Mucosal human papillomaviruses infections The association of HPV with cervical disease has

been extensively studied. HPV detection in the absence of apparent disease is found in 11–12% of all women. Detection is higher in young women

((and men) 50-80% [36]) and declines in older age groups [37]. Such inapparent infections and lowgrade disease are typically characterized by multiple HPV types, including HPV 16 (3.2%), 18 (1.4%), 31 (0.8%), and 58 (0.7%). HPV detection increases with disease severity [37], with percentage positivity in CIN1/LSIL (i.e. low-grade neoplasia) of between 50-70%. In CIN2, there is 85% positivity for HPV and in CIN3 and invasive cervical cancer; the positivity rises to between 90% and 100% [38]. The detection of high-risk HPV types at other sites varies and in the oral cavity is estimated at around 5% in apparently asymptomatically infected individuals [39], rising to 50% or so in individuals with oropharyngeal cancers [40]. Although genital warts are typically benign lesions, the incidence of new cases per year in UK is 0.16%, with an incidence of recurrent cases of 0.13% [41]. These figures underlie the prevalence of low-risk genital HPV infections and the difficulties in reliably eliminating them with current treatment. HPVs produced from genital warts are associated with a transmission rate of 60%, and like high-risk infections, are most prominent in the late teens and early 20s [42]. Pathology and HPV-type associations of important mucosal lesions are described in box 1.

Box 1

KEY FACTS—Mucosal Papillomavirus Infections in Humans

Condyloma acuminatum is one of the most common manifestations of HPV in the genital area [43]. They present as papules, nodules or soft, filiform, pinkish, sessile or pedunculated growths. In men, genital condylomas more commonly involve the coronal sulcus, the glands penis, and the penile shaft. In women, lesions commonly affect the external genitalia and the cervix [44]. The disease is usually sexually transmitted and is most frequently caused by low-risk HPVs, such as HPV 6 and 11, although many other genotypes can also be found, including HPV 2, 16, 18, 30-33, 35, 39, 41–45, 51–56, and 59 [45–47]. As described in the text, the HPV types that cause benign genital warts can also cause problematic papillomas at oral sites, which can be difficult to treat because of their location.

<u>Focal epithelial hyperplasia</u> is a rare HPV-related disease of the oral mucosa that is more common

in children and women. Lesions are mainly located in the lower lip, but less frequently may affect the upper lip, tongue, oral mucosa, oropharynx, palate, and floor of mouth. HPV 13 and 32 are the most common cause [48].

Cervical neoplasia and cervical cancer. Precancerous cervical lesions are classified as cervical CIN of different grades (1, 2, or 3). CIN1 pathology is broadly equivalent to the LSIL designation used in the Bethesda classification system, with CIN2 and 3 being equivalent to high-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion. The severity of neoplasia reflects the extent to which basal-like cells (i.e. poorly differentiated cells with high nuclear/cytoplasmic ratio) extend toward the epithelial surface and the extent of suprabasal cell division. Low-grade lesions typically show evidence of productive viral infection with the presence of koilocytes in the suprabasal cell layers being regarded as a key manifestation of CIN1/LSIL. HPV is detectable in 90-100% of cervical abnormalities, ranging from incipient cytological abnormalities and dysplasia [49] to cervical cancer [50-52].

Other anogenital cancers including those of the vulva, vagina, penis, and anus. Most vulvar cancers (92%) are solitary, keratinizing SCC. HPV prevalence is 90% in vulvar intraepithelial neoplasia and basaloid or warty cancers, but is found in only 6% of keratinizing SCC [53,54]. HPV 16 is the most prominent type in vulvar cancer, with HPV 18, 21, 31, 33, and 34 detected at lower frequencies. In addition, HPV is responsible for 85% of vaginal cancer, with HPV 16 being detected in 60% of invasive tumors. HPV is also detected in basaloid and warty cancers of the penis, but only rarely in keratinizing SCC and verrucous cancers of the penis. In invasive penile cancer, HPV 16 is the most prevalent type (40–70%), followed by HPV 6 (22%), 52 (15%), and 11 (4%) [55]. HPV is present in 80-96% of anal cancer with HPV 16 being the most prevalent type [56]. Anal cancer is more common in men who have sex with men, individuals with a history of anal warts, and in immunosuppressed populations.

<u>Head and neck cancer</u> HPV is recognized as a major risk factor for the development of HNSCC. A recent meta-analysis showed that HPV

prevalence in HNSCC increased significantly from 41% in 2000 to 72% in 2004 [57]. HPV prevalence is significantly higher in oropharynx SCC than in the oral cavity with the tonsil having higher prevalence than other anatomic sites [58]. These HPV-associated cancers display clinical and molecular features distinct from other HNSCCs. The patients with HPV-positive cancer have at least a 50% improvement in overall survival at 5 years, which is equivalent to an approximate 30% difference in absolute survival. HPV association is now part of routine diagnostic procedure when assessing the prognosis of HNSCC. HPV 16 is the most common type found in HNSCC, but other HPV types such as 18, 31, 33, and 35 can also be detected [57].

Cutaneous human papillomaviruses infections

Among the HPV types associated with cutaneous disease are HPV types 2, 3, 10, 27, and 57 from the Alpha Genus, HPV types 4, 60, and 65 from the Gamma Genus, and HPV types 1 and 63 from the Mu Genus. Such benign lesions are relatively common in the general population, particularly in children (33% positive) who may be encountering HPV types for the first time and in immunosuppressed individuals (45% positive) [59]. An incubation period of 3 weeks to 8 months can occur before lesions become apparent, depending on inoculation titre [60]. The Alpha types (2, 27, and 57) are most prevalent in common warts (>65% of cases), along with HPV 1 (Mu HPV type; approx. 30% of cases) [59]. In most cases, such lesions are an inconvenience with spontaneous immune regression of 80% within 2 years [61]. Benign warts such as these can be highly productive and contain as many as 10¹² particles [62] and typically show general hypertrophy (cell enlargement) leading to acanthosis or thickening of the epithelium, as well as prominent folding of the epithelial basal layer (papillomatosis). Such lesions have thicker cornified layers (hyperkeratosis) and contain abundant cytoplasmic inclusion granules of characteristic appearance in the spinous and granular layers, which comprises predominantly of the viral E4 protein [34]. Virions released from the epithelial surface may be transmitted indirectly (e.g. on innate objects) or directly from person to person [63]. The pathology features and type associations of

the most prevalent benign cutaneous lesions are described in box 2.

Box 2

KEY FACTS—Cutaneous Papillomavirus Infections in Humans

Common warts can be single or multiple and of varying sizes. They occur at many sites, but often on the back of hands [64], with the knee also being a common site of infection in children. A prevalence of 3.5% [65] in adults to over 30% in schoolchildren has been reported [66]. Incidence increases in immunosuppressed patients, with lesions being more numerous and more recalcitrant. HPV 1, 2, 4, 27, and 57 are the most prevalent types [67–69]. HPV 7 is found in the common warts of individuals whose hands are chronically exposed to moisture and cold because of their occupation [70].

<u>Plantar warts</u> occur on the soles of the feet, particularly in children. HPV 1 and 4 are frequently the cause, although HPV 57, 60, 63, 65, and 66 can also be involved [71]. HPV 1 commonly induces lesions that manifest as a keratotic plug surrounded by a hyperkeratotic rim that are often painful. HPV 4 can be the cause of mosaic warts, which are more superficial lesions that occur in a confluent cobblestone pattern and are usually painless. Persistent plantar lesions can be very rarely associated with the development of verrucous carcinoma [72].

<u>Flat warts</u> are slightly raised lesions of skin color or pigmented, with flat, smooth or, slightly rough surface. The face and back of hands are the most common sites of disease with HPV 3 and 10 most commonly detected in such lesions [64, 73].

<u>Filiform warts</u> are pedunculated lesions growing in a perpendicular or oblique way in relation to the skin surface. The face and neck are the most frequent sites of disease. The detected HPV types are the same as common warts, especially HPV 2 [73].

<u>Pigmented warts</u> range from gray to blackish brown and are located on the palmoplantar

or lateral surfaces of the hands, feet, fingers, and toes. HPV 4, 60, and 65 are most prevalent in such lesions [74].

<u>Epidermoid cysts</u> can be caused by HPV types 57 and 60, with these types being detected in plantar epidermoid cysts [75, 76]. An unknown HPV type was reported in epidermoid cysts of the trunk and scalp [77, 78]. Immunostaining suggests that such lesions are distinct from the associated dermal eccrine duct, but have similarities with the suprabasal cells of the epidermis. It has been suggested that palmoplantar epidermoid cysts may in some instances arise as a result of epidermoid metaplasia of eccrine ducts following HPV infection [79].

Skin cancer. Bowen's disease (BD) is a SCC in situ of the skin. In 3-5% of cases, it progresses to invasive carcinoma with the capability to develop metastasis. The mucosal HPV types are commonly detected in lesions of extra-genital BD, especially in the periungual region. Other HPV types have occasionally been detected in BD, including HPV 2, 6, 11, 54, 58, 61, 62, and 73 [80]. The link between HPV and non-melanoma skin cancer, SCC and BCC, is not clear except in immunosuppressed individuals and in certain genetic backgrounds. Mucosal HPV types, especially HPV 16 can sometimes be detected in the SCC and BCC of the skin, but also more rarely HPV 2, 31, 34, 35, 58, 61, and 73 [81, 82]. Molecular analysis of Beta HPV protein function and serology suggests a role of certain Beta HPV types (e.g. HPV 8, 20, 38) in the development of SCC in immunosuppressed individuals. A role in the early stages of cancer development is suspected (but not conclusively proven) in a fraction of keratinocyte cancers in the general population, with Beta HPV genomes from the cell being lost from the cell as the disease severity increases [83].

PAPILLOMAVIRUS LIFE CYCLE ORGANIZATION IN THE INFECTED EPITHELIUM

The ability of specific HPVs to undergo a productive life cycle depends on the site of infection as


well as the local microenvironment [84]. Although HPV life cycle organization is best understood for Alpha papillomavirus, the broad principles are likely to be common to HPVs in general. In many cases, lesion formation is thought to begin with a wound or other epithelial trauma followed by the infection of an epithelial basal stem cell, with the longevity of these cells underlying lesion persistence [85-88]. For low-risk HPV types, which do not stimulate cell proliferation, this is a reasonable hypothesis [89–93]. For the high-risk types, which can drive cell proliferation, it is less clear. Active cell division (as it occurs during wound healing) is necessary for viral genome entry into the nucleus and episomal maintenance [94]. The particular susceptibility of the cervical transformation zone to cancer progression may be linked to increased likelihood of infection, particularly at puberty when metaplastic cells are present at this site [95–97]. Recent studies have suggested the presence of cuboidal stemlike cells at the squamo-columnar junction, which may be prone to cancer progression following infection by high-risk HPV types [98].

Infection and genome maintenance in the epithelial basal layer

Infection is thought to be followed by an initial phase of genome amplification, prior to maintenance of the viral episome at low copy number [94,99]. Episomal copy number in the infected basal cell is often quoted as 200 copies per cell, based on a study of cell lines. Using laser capture methods, 50-100 copies per cell [100] have been found in the basal layer of productive warts. The viral replication proteins E1 and E2 are important for this initial amplification phase, but may be dispensable for episomal maintenance-replication once the copy number has stabilized [101-103] despite established roles for E2 in genome partitioning, replication, and transcription [85,104,105]. In BPV, genome partitioning upon cell division involves the cellular bromodomain containing protein 4(Brd4), but in HPVs, other E2 binding proteins may also be involved in the tethering of viral episomes to the cellular chromatin during cell division [106–109]. Interestingly, Brd4 has been implicated as a key protein involved in HPV 16 genome replication [110]. The E6 and E7 proteins are key regulators of cell cycle progression, but their precise role in infected basal cells is somewhat uncertain, particularly for the low-risk HPV types (such as HPV 6 or 11) that are not generally associated with neoplasia, and which may require infection of a basal stem cell at the site of a wound or microwound. In these HPV types, the role of the wound healing response in driving the initial proliferation of the infected cell(s) is thought to be critical [111], with signaling from the local microenvironment influencing viral gene expression [112] and/or protein functions. In the case of the high-risk types that cause neoplasia, a clear role exists for the viral E6 and E7 proteins in driving cell proliferation in the basal and parabasal cell layers, especially at sites (such as the cervix) where neoplasia can occur [85]. Functional differences in E6 and E7 that are thought to underlie high-risk and low-risk disease pathology are listed in Figure 3A [113,114,50].

Cell cycle entry and genome amplification in the suprabasal layers

The E6/E7-mediated proliferation of basal/parabasal cells following infection by the high-risk HPV types allows an expansion in lesion size. An important difference between high-risk and low-risk E7 proteins is their differential ability to associate with the retinoblastoma protein (pRb) and more specifically, the ability of the high-risk E7 to bind and degrade p105 and p107, which control cell cycle entry in the basal layer, as well as p130, which is involved in cell cycle re-entry in the upper epithelial layers [115–117] [113,117] (Figure 3B). These key differences between high-risk and low-risk E7 proteins reside in their Nterminal half, a region that shares homology with CR1 and CR2 of the adenovirus E1A and Simian vacuolating virus 40 T-antigen proteins [118]. The biological activities of adenovirus E1a, including pRb binding and the ability to cooperate with ras to transform primary rat cells map to this region [119], with the pRb-binding motif (LXCXE) being located in the CR2 region of both high-risk and low-risk mucosal E7 proteins. The expression of the high-risk E7 protein leads also to an extensive epigenetic reprogramming of the cell, which is also considered important for stimulation of cell-cycle entry and progression by E7. HPV 16 E7 interacts with Mi2 β , a component of the nucleosome remodeling and deacetylase complex (NuRD complex), an association that is thought to block the activity of histone deacetylases 1 and 2 [120]. Interaction requires the C-terminal zinc-finger domain of E7 and contributes






Figure 3. (A) The E6 and E7 proteins of the high-risk and low-risk HPV types have different functions, which reflect their different biologies. The ability of the high-risk HPV types to drive cell division in neoplasia is thought to reflect the ability of their E7 protein to bind and degrade multiple members of the pRb protein family, as well as the ability of E6 to efficiently degrade p53 and to compromise the function of PDZ-domain proteins that regulate cell contact and signaling pathways, (B) High-risk HPV infection can lead to a "silent" or asymptomatic infection in which viral genomes persist in the basal layer without the development of disease, or alternatively to the development of a productive lesion such as CIN1 in which viral gene expression is regulated as the infected cells differentiate. In some instances, infection can lead to higher-grade neoplasia, with deregulated viral gene expression leading to secondary genetic changes in the host cell and possible integration of the viral genome into the cellular chromosome. The deregulated gene expression seen in CIN2 and 3, which are considered to be precancerous lesions, predispose to the development of cancer

to the transcription of E2F-responsive genes and the repression of pRb-induced quiescence [120,121]. In addition, E7 expression stimulates the activation of EZH2, a histone methyl transferase, and also the histone demethylases KDM6A and KDM6B [122,123] through different mechanisms. Interestingly, the activation of KDMs appears to be involved in the induction of p16^{INK4A}, a surrogate biomarker of HPV infection, as well as homeotic genes of the HOX family, which have been shown to negatively regulate epidermal differentiation [124,125]. Interestingly, the effects on EZH2 are conserved between high-risk and lowrisk E7 proteins and provide a link between viral gene expression and the modulation of events during the viral life cycle.

The PDZ (\underline{P} SD95/ \underline{D} lg/ \underline{Z} O-1) binding motif (PBM), which is located at the extreme C-terminus

of the high-risk E6 proteins, represents another key difference between high-risk and low-risk papillomavirus types [126,127]. The E6 PBM facilitates interaction with a panel of PDZ domain-containing proteins, and in many cases leads to their proteasome-mediated degradation in an E6APdependent manner [128,129]. So far, 14 E6 PDZ domain-containing substrates have been identified [130,131], with many of these (i.e. Dlg1, Scribble, MAGI-1, -2, -3) being involved in the assembly of signaling complexes associated with the regulation of cell polarity, cell adhesion, and differentiation (reviewed in [132]). Although the importance of E6-PDZ associations has primarily been studied in the context of HPV-related carcinogenesis, the PDZ-binding activity of E6 appears also to regulate multiple aspects of the viral life cycle. The integrity

of the E6 PBM is required for the episomal maintenance of HPV 31 and HPV 16 genomes in primary human keratinocytes [133-135] and disruption of the E6 PBM correlated with defective HPV 18 genome amplification and S-phase re-entry in differentiating epithelium [136]. Such studies have also suggested a role for E6 PDZ-binding activity in the expression of cyclin B1, which is required for normal G2-M transition [137,138]. Interestingly, several high-risk E6 proteins contain canonical cyclic AMP-dependent protein kinase A recognition motifs (R-R/K-X-T/S) within their PBM that can regulate E6 function during the high-risk HPV life cycle [136,139]. Mechanistically, it is thought that phosphorylation regulates E6 binding to PDZdomain proteins and creates an alternative binding-site, which allows E6 to associate with members of the cellular 14-3-3 protein family [139,140]. The high-risk E6 proteins are also characterized by an ability to upregulate telomerase activity [141-143] and to maintain telomere integrity during repeated cell divisions, as well as by their ability to mediate p53 degradation within the cell. Both high-risk and low-risk E6 proteins inactivate p53 function, which suggests an important role in the virus life cycle, but only high-risk types stimulate its ubiquitination and proteosome-dependent degradation (see section on cancer progression below) [144–146]. The high-risk types use degradatory pathways to target several of their substrates. For E7, this is mediated via the cullin 2 ubiquitin ligase complex, whereas for E6, it involves the E6AP cellular ubiquitin ligase [147]. It is now clear that both E6 and E7 have a very large number of cellular substrates, and that the identity of these substrates differs between HPV types of the same high-risk species, as well as between the broader high-risk and low-risk groupings [148]. The difficulty in linking defined protein functions to HPV cancer risk and indeed life cycle events is exemplified by the shared ability of high-risk E6 proteins to degrade p53 and PDZ substrates and to induce keratinocyte immortalisation. For E6, recent structural studies have suggested a complex multimeric protein that has potential to associate with multiple protein partners at any given time point [145,149].

In the virus life cycle, the E6 and E7 play an essential role in driving S-phase re-entry in the upper epithelial layers to allow viral genome amplification. This also requires the E1 and E2 proteins, which

increase in abundance following "late" promoter upregulation (p670 in HPV 16; [150]) in cells, which continue to express E6 and E7 from the early promoter (p97 in HPV 16). In the case of low-risk HPV types, genome amplification requires cell cycle re-entry in the mid to upper epithelial layers rather than occurring in cells that have remained in cycle after leaving the basal layer. For both highrisk and low-risk HPVs, genome amplification persists as the infected cell moves from an S-phase to a G2- like phase before committing to full differentiation [151,152].

Experimental systems show a two-log increase in viral copy number per cell during genome amplification [100]. In addition to E1 and E2, the E4 and E5 proteins also contribute to genome amplification indirectly. E5 is involved in koilocyte formation [153] and is a three-pass transmembrane protein with a cytoplasmic C-terminus [154]. The E5 protein has a pore-forming capability and can interfere with apoptosis [155] and the intracellular trafficking of endocytotic vesicles [156,157]. It is thought that E5 contributes to genome amplification through its ability to stabilize epidermal growth factor receptor, to enhance epidermal growth factor signaling and mitogen-activated protein (MAP) kinase activity [158-161], and also to modulate both extracellular-signal-regulated kinase 1/2 (ERK 1/2) and p38 independently of epidermal growth factor receptor [162,163]. The cellular MAP kinases ERK 1/2 regulate nuclear E1 accumulation through the phosphorylation and activation of a nuclear localisation signal within the E1 protein, with their activity being dependent on upstream MAP kinase kinase 1/2 (MEK, MAPKK) and p38. The accumulation of cyclin E and A and their associated cyclindependent kinase 2 in S-phase further contributes by phosphorylation and inhibition of the nuclear export sequence of E1 [164,165]. Other posttranslational modifications in E1 (e.g. cleavage by may also facilitate differentiationdependent genome amplification, with the accumulation of E1 in the nucleus enhancing viral DNA replication at the expense of cellular replication through induction of a DNA damage response [166]. The E4 protein, which accumulates at very high levels in cells supporting virus synthesis [167,168] may in fact have a primary function in virus release or transmission [169,170], with the optimization of genome amplification occurring as an indirect consequence of its expression [34,171–175].

Virus assembly and virus release from the epithelial surface

Completion of the HPV life cycle ultimately involves expression of the minor coat protein (L2), cell cycle exit, and the expression of the major coat protein L1 to allow genome packaging. This requires a change in splice site usage rather than the activation of new promoters, leading to an elevation in transcripts that initiate at the late promoter (p670 in HPV 16) and which terminate at the late (rather than the early) polyadenylation site [85], an event that is facilitated by the higher levels of E2 expression that down-regulate p97 [176,177]. These changes result in a switch from the production of an E1^E4, E5 mRNA to an E1^E4, L1 transcript as genome amplification gives way to genome packaging [177-179]. Encapsidation of the viral genome ultimately involves the recruitment of L2 (by E2) to regions of replication prior to the expression of L1 and the assembly of the infectious virions in the nucleus [180,181]. Virus maturation eventually takes place in the superficial dying keratinocytes, which lose mitochondrial oxidative

phosphorylation and convert from a reducing to an oxidizing environment before virus release. This enables the progressive accumulation of disulfide bonds between the L1 proteins, leading to the production of stable infectious virions [182,183]. The abundant E4 protein assembles into amyloid fibrils that disrupt keratin structure and compromise the normal assembly of the cornified envelope [168,170,184]. Although not precisely defined, it is thought that E4 amyloid fibers may contribute to virion release and infectivity in the upper epithelial layers.

HIGH-RISK AND LOW-RISK HUMAN PAPILLOMAVIRUS TYPES AND THE DEVELOPMENT OF CANCER

The ordered expression of viral gene products that leads to virus particle production is disturbed in HPV-associated neoplasias (Figure 3B). In cervical disease, it is thought that the levels of E6 and E7 expression rise from CIN1 to CIN3, and that these changes in gene expression underlie the different neoplastic phenotypes, with CIN1 lesions typically






Figure 4. High-risk human papillomaviruses (HPV) infection disrupts the molecular pathways that regulate epithelial differentiation and cell proliferation. Cell cycle progression is regulated in the different epithelial layers by members of the pRb (retinoblastoma) family of proteins. The E7 proteins of high-risk HPV types can target members of this protein family for degradation (shown in B). This releases members of the E2F transcription factor family, which allows basal and parabasal cells to enter S-phase. In uninfected epithelium (shown in A), the release of E2F is dependent on external growth factors, which stimulate cyclinD/cdk activity to allow pRb phosphorylation and E2F release. The expression of cellular proteins involved in cell cycle progression is regulated by p16^{INK4A}, which is involved in a negative feedback loop by suppressing the activity of the cyclinD/cdk. The inability of low-risk HPV types to drive robust basal cell proliferation is thought to be because these types can only efficiently target the p130 retinoblastoma family member, which controls suprabasal, but not basal cell cycle entry. The high-risk E7 proteins are thought to target all members of the pRb family. In addition to E7, high-risk HPVs encode a second protein involved in cell cycle entry. This is the E6 protein, which acts to suppress the rise in p53 that would otherwise occur following E7-mediated elevation in p14 levels. (shown in B) Elevated p14 leads to inactivation of the MDM protein that is normally involved in degrading p53. High-risk E6 proteins directly regulate p53 levels in the cell by mediating its ubiquitination and degradation via the proteasome pathway. In uninfected cells (shown in A), p53 levels are maintained at a low level, partly as a result of the normal activity of MDM

supporting the complete HPV life cycle [185]. The increase in E6 and E7 activity that is thought to occur in high-risk HPV infection underlies the CIN2+ phenotype and predisposes the cell to the accumulation of genetic errors that eventually lead to cancer progression [114]. In this model, the lower E6/E7 activity in CIN1 is not expected to compromise the functions of the cellular targets of E6 and E7 sufficiently to facilitate cancer progression. The deregulated expression of high-risk E7 proteins can stimulate host genome instability through deregulation of the centrosome cycle [186-191], whereas deregulated expression of E6 contributes to the accumulation of mutations by compromising the role of p53 in DNA repair. p53 is important for the induction of cell cycle arrest and apoptosis upon aberrant cell cycle progression and is a target of both high-risk and low-risk E6 proteins, which act to counter the rise in p53 that results from the unscheduled DNA synthesis mediated by E7 (Figure 4). Indeed, recent studies using HPV 18 organotypic raft culture systems show that the loss of E6 and the accumulation of p53 lead to a severe impairment of the productive stage of the viral life cycle [152,192]. A similar dependency on p53 inactivation is also expected in the low-risk HPV life cycle given the ability of these viruses to promote cell cycle re-entry in the parabasal layers of the epithelium. Interestingly, high-risk and low-risk mutant HPV genomes encoding E6 proteins defective in p53 binding cannot maintain episomal genomes [192,193], suggesting that the inactivation of p53 plays important and pleiotropic roles within the HPV life cycle. Both high-risk and low-risk mucosal HPV types inhibit the p300/CBP (CREB-binding protein) mediated acetylation of p53 that is required for promoter activation [194,195] via a mechanism that involves the formation of a complex between the histone acetyltransferase, p53, and E6, but which does not depend on the E6 associated protein [194]. Low-risk HPVs may also interfere with p53 function by mediating its cytoplasmic


1. INFECTION



2. LESION FORMATION



3. ESTABLISHED LESION



4. IMMUNE REGRESSION AND LATENCY




Figure 5. Lesion formation is thought to be facilitated by the presence of microwounds, which allows the virus to infect epithelial basal cells (e.g. an epithelial stem cell (1)). At particular sites, such as the squamocolumnar junction of the cervical transformation zone, basal cells, reserve cells, and stem-like/stem cells are close to the epithelial surface and may be more prone to infection. At other sites, the development of a lesion may be facilitated by a wound repair (2). Once a lesion has become established, basal and parabasal epithelial cells can be driven into the cell cycle, either to mediate basal cell division (i.e. cell proliferation) or to drive cell cycle re-entry (but not mitosis) in the upper epithelial layers in order to support viral genome amplification (3). Clearance of disease involves activation of a cell-mediated immune response and a suppression of viral gene expression as activated T-cells accumulate in the vicinity of the lesion. It is thought that viral genomes can persist in the basal epithelial cells with very limited gene expression, allowing possible reactivation under some circumstances, such as it can occur following immunosuppression [245]

sequestration [196]. Unlike low-risk HPV types, however, the high-risk E6 protein can promote the E6 associated protein-mediated degradation of p53 and also of hAda3 (human homologue of yeast alteration/deficiency in activation 3), which is a p53 coactivator and a component of the histone acetyltransferase complex [197,198]. These functions of E6 and the other characterized functions of E6 and E7 described in the context of the virus life cycle earlier, underlie the ability of the high-risk HPV types to cause cancers. Deregulation of viral gene expression in CIN2/3+ facilitate integration of the viral episome into the host cell chromosome, which can act to further deregulate the expression of E6 and E7. Although it is unclear how gene expression from the viral episome becomes deregulated in early CIN, data from the vaccine trials indicate that CIN2+ can sometimes occur in young women soon after infection [199–202]. The deregulated viral gene expression that is thought to underlie the CIN2 phenotype may be driven by hormonal changes, which affect the proliferative capacity of the infected cell [84] and/or by epigenetic modifications, which may depend on the nature of the infected epithelial cell [203]. Interestingly, the HPV 16 LCR contains hormone response elements that can be stimulated by estrogen, and there is considerable evidence of cooperation between estrogen and HPV in development of cervical cancer in humans and in model systems [84,204–206]. Several studies have recently reported that the LCR is differentially methylated according to disease grade, which suggests that epigenetic changes may also regulate promoter usage [207] (and thus disease [114]) and indeed be exacerbated by the expression of the viral oncogenes [122,208,209]. Although common fragile sites in the host genome are considered to be hot spots where integration is likely to occur [210], integration is a chance event, which can sometimes result in disruption of the viral E2 gene that normally suppresses transcription of E6 and E7. Most cervical cancers contain one or more copies of HPV integrated into the host chromosome, with the viral integration site frequently lying either within the E1 or E2 open reading frame [211,212]. Integration and the loss of normal E6/E7 regulation by E2 facilitates long-term/ high-level expression of these genes [213-215], and generally occurs in highgrade lesions such as CIN2 and CIN3 [86] [216] (Figure 3B). Cervical cancer can arise from cells containing exclusive episomes, and for HPV 16, around 30% (between 26 and 76% depending on study) of cervical cancers develop in this way [217–219]. Approximately 70% of HPV 16-associated cervical cancers contain integrated HPV 16 sequences, whereas for HPV 18, the viral genome is nearly always integrated [220–224].

HOST IMMUNE RESPONSES IN LESION REGRESSION AND CLEARANCE

Although high-risk HPV infection is common, with over 80% of women becoming infected at some stage in their life, cervical cancer arises rarely as a result of infection. Most infections are cleared by a cell-mediated immune response, although HPV 16 and 18 persist longer than other high-risk types, which may contribute to their higher cancer risk at stratified and glandular sites [225-227]. In general however, genital tract infections by HPV are common in young sexually active individuals, with the majority (80-90%) clearing the infection without clinical symptoms. Regression of anogenital warts is accompanied by a CD4+ T cell-dominated Th1 response, which is also seen in animal models of papillomavirus disease [228-231], with a failure to develop an effective cell-mediated immune response correlating with persistent infection, and for high-risk HPVs, an increased probability of progression toward invasive carcinoma.

In addition, many HPV infections counter detection by the innate immune response. The life cycle is intra-epithelial, produces no viraemia, cell lysis, or cell death, and replication is not associated with inflammation [232]. Pro-inflammatory cytokines such as Type I interferons are not released, and the signals for Langerhans cell/dendritic cell activation, migration, and recruitment are largely absent [233]. Productively infected cells expressing abundant viral proteins are shed from the surface of the epithelium, away from circulating immune cells. For high-risk Alpha types, several mechanisms of immune evasion have been established. The E6 protein of high-risk HPV types is known to interfere with Tyk2 function, and as a result of this is thought to affect STAT signaling [85,234,235]. Similarly, E7 is able to interfere with the induction of interferon response factor 1, with both E6 and E7 being reported to reduce surface levels of E-cadherin, which is thought to underlie the lower abundance of Langerhans cells (the epithelial dendritic cells) in lesional tissue [236–239].

E7 reduces the total MHC abundance at the cell surface, and through its effects on STAT1 signaling and the suppression of IRF-1, also reduces the levels of MHC 1 antigen presentation, which is expected to contribute to immune escape in high-risk HPV driven cancers [240–242]. Interestingly, the high-risk E5 protein also interferes with classical MHC class 1 processing and is thought to compromise the display of viral peptides at the surface of the infected epithelial cell during the normal productive life cycle [243]. The low level presentation of viral antigens, in conjunction with active immune evasion strategies and the absence of inflammation, is thought to favor immune tolerance rather than an effector T cell response able to clear disease. Resolution of infection is thought to require cross-priming of dendritic cells with viral antigens, followed by T-cell infiltration into the site of infection and shut-off of viral gene expression. Human Langerhans cells are known to prime and cross prime naive CD8+ cells [244] although recent data from the mouse [49] suggest that in the skin, the important cross presenting antigen presenting cells are Langerin-positive and CD103positive dendritic cells, which may be of dermal origin. When lesion regression does occur, it is not associated with significant apoptosis or cell death, and it appears from animal model studies that lesions are cleared by the replacement of actively infected cells with "apparently normal cells" as the basal cells continue to divide [100,230,245]. These "normal" cells can still contain viral genomes but without obvious viral gene expression, with the virus life cycle becoming "re-activated" subsequently following immune suppression or possibly also upon changes in hormone levels (Figure 5, [245]). For cancer to develop, the virus has to evade immune detection over a prolonged period of time. Cervical cancer patients have a reduced or nonexistent T-cell response to antigens of the causal HPV type [246,247], which suggests that persistence may be linked to a failure of the immune response or an inability to recognize viral antigens. No obvious link between HLA type or other susceptibility indicators has however yet been made [248–250].

CONCLUSIONS

Human papillomaviruses have evolved over many millions of years to propagate themselves in a range of different animal species including humans. A typical characteristic of viruses that have co-evolved with their hosts in this way is the production of chronic inapparent infections, with virion production from the surface of infected epithelium in the absence apparent disease. This is the case for many Beta and Gamma HPV types. However, not all HPV types use this approach, and it appears that several Alpha papillomavirus types have evolved immunoevasion strategies that allow them to cause persistent visible papillomas. As part of the papillomavirus life cycle in the epithelium, these viruses need to activate the cell cycle as the infected cell differentiates in order to create a replication competent environment, which allows genome amplification and packaging into infectious particles. To do this, they have evolved proteins (E6, E7, and E5) that can interfere with the normal cell cycle regulation and can prevent apoptosis as a result of unscheduled DNA replication. In contrast to low-risk HPV types, high-risk Alpha papillomaviruses not only drive cell cycle entry in the upper epithelial layers, but have E6 and E7 proteins that can stimulate the proliferation of infected basal cells and also cause neoplasia. These additional characteristics reflect differences in the viral proteins but also differences in the way that the viral proteins are expressed within the lesion. It is generally accepted that deregulated expression of these cell cycle regulators underlies neoplasia and the eventual progression to cancer in individuals who cannot resolve infection. Most work to date has focused on the study of high-risk HPV types such as HPV 16 and 18, but in the future, there will be a need to understand the different risks associated with other members of the highrisk group and to more fully understand the molecular pathways that they subvert. Such approaches will, with some certainty, lead us eventually to the development of better strategies for disease treatment (i.e. targeted antivirals or immunotherapeutics), which are a necessary complement to current methods of disease management (i.e. prophylactic vaccination, screening, surgical ablation, or local immune modulation). In the coming years, it will also be important to consider high-risk HPV-associated diseases at sites other than the cervix (e.g. tonsils, other transformation zones) and to understand the mechanisms by which low-risk HPV types can give rise to papillomatosis and under certain situations even cancers. An important part of these future studies will be to develop our understanding of the

Gamma and Beta HPV types at the level of their natural history and to consider the different mechanisms by which this group of viruses cause disease and, in some situations also, cancer.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors have no competing interest.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Thanks to Dr Ignacio Bravo (Catalan Institute of Oncology, Barcelona, Spain) for the evolutionary tree, which is modified from [6] and to Dr Lawrence Banks (ICGEB, Padriciano, Trieste, Italy) for

helping in preparing the comparative analysis of low-risks and high-risk HPV protein functions. Our understanding of HPV immunology has been significantly enhanced through discussion with Margaret Stanley (University of Cambridge, UK) and Dr Merilyn Hibma (University of Otago, New Zealand). The support and encouragement of Professor Geoffrey Smith, Head of Department (Department of Pathology, University of Cambridge, UK) are greatly acknowledged. The Human Papillomavirus Research Group at the University of Cambridge is funded by the UK Medical Research Council.

REFERENCES

- Bravo IG, de Sanjose S, Gottschling M. The clinical importance of understanding the evolution of papillomaviruses. Trends in Microbiology 2010; 18(10): 432–438.
- Antonsson A, McMillan NA. Papillomavirus in healthy skin of Australian animals. *Journal of General Virology* 2006; 87(Pt 11): 3195–3200.
- Antonsson A, et al. General acquisition of human papillomavirus infections of skin occurs in early infancy. Journal of Clinical Microbiology 2003; 41(6): 2509–2514.
- Antonsson A, et al. The ubiquity and impressive genomic diversity of human skin papillomaviruses suggest a commensalic nature of these viruses. Journal of Virology 2000; 74(24): 11636–11641.
- Griffiths P. Time to consider the concept of a commensal virus? Reviews in Medical Virology 1999; 9(2): 73–74.
- Doorbar J, et al. The biology and life-cycle of human papillomaviruses. Vaccine 2012; 30(Suppl 5): F55–F70.
- zur Hausen H. Papillomaviruses in the causation of human cancers—a brief historical account. Virology 2009; 384(2): 260–265
- Goon P, et al. Recurrent respiratory papillomatosis: an overview of current thinking and treatment. European Archives of Oto-Rhino-Laryngology: Official Journal of the European Federation of Oto-Rhino-Laryngological Societies 2008; 265(2): 147–151.
- 9. Gerein V, et al. Incidence, age at onset, and potential reasons of malignant

- transformation in recurrent respiratory papillomatosis patients: 20 years experience. *Otolaryngology Head and Neck Surgery*, 2005; **132**(3): 392–394.
- Bernard HU, et al. Classification of papillomaviruses (PVs) based on 189 PV types and proposal of taxonomic amendments. Virology 2010; 401(1): 70–79.
- de Villiers EM. Cross-roads in the classification of papillomaviruses. Virology 2013; 445(1-2): 2-10.
- Steinberg BM, et al. Tissue site-specific enhancer function of the upstream regulatory region of human papillomavirus type 11 in cultured keratinocytes. *Journal of Virology* 1989; 63(2): 957–960.
- Syrjanen S. Human papillomavirus infections and oral tumors. *Medical Microbiol*ogy and Immunology (Berl) 2003; 192(3): 123–128.
- Mistry N, Wibom C, Evander M. Cutaneous and mucosal human papillomaviruses differ in net surface charge, potential impact on tropism. Virology Journal 2008; 5: 118.
- Giroglou T, et al. Human papillomavirus infection requires cell surface heparan sulfate. Journal of Virology 2001; 75(3): 1565–1570.
- 16. Kines RC, et al. The initial steps leading to papillomavirus infection occur on the basement membrane prior to cell surface binding. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 2009; 106(48): 20458–20463.
- 17. Selinka HC, et al. Further evidence that papillomavirus capsids exist in two

- distinct conformations. *Journal of Virology* 2003; 77(24): 12961–12967.
- Joyce JG, et al. The L1 major capsid protein of human papillomavirus type 11 recombinant virus-like particles interacts with heparin and cell-surface glycosaminoglycans on human keratinocytes. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 1999; 274(9): 5810–5822.
- Combita AL, et al. Gene transfer using human papillomavirus pseudovirions varies according to virus genotype and requires cell surface heparan sulfate. FEMS Microbiology Letters 2001; 204(1): 183–188.
- Shafti-Keramat S, et al. Different heparan sulfate proteoglycans serve as cellular receptors for human papillomaviruses. Journal of Virology 2003; 77(24): 13125–13135.
- Johnson KM, et al. Role of heparan sulfate in attachment to and infection of the murine female genital tract by human papillomavirus. *Journal of Virology* 2009; 83(5): 2067–2074.
- Schiller JT, Day PM, Kines RC. Current understanding of the mechanism of HPV infection. *Gynecologic Oncology* 2010; 118(Suppl 1): S12–S17.
- Egawa K, et al. Varied clinical morphology of HPV-1-induced warts, depending on anatomical factors. British Journal of Dermatology 1993; 128: 271–276.
- Zheng ZM, Baker CC. Papillomavirus genome structure, expression, and posttranscriptional regulation. Frontiers in Bioscience 2006; 11: 2286–2302.
- 25. Schiller JT, Lowy DR. Understanding and learning from the success of prophylactic

Rev. Med. Virol. 2015; 25: 2-23.

DOI: 10.1002/rmv

- human papillomavirus vaccines. *Nature Reviews*. *Microbiology* 2012; **10**(10): 681–692.
- Chen XS, et al. Structure of small virus-like particles assembled from the L1 protein of human papillomavirus 16. Molecular Cell 2000; 5(3): 557–567.
- Chen XS, et al. Papillomavirus capsid protein expression in Escherichia coli: purification and assembly of HPV11 and HPV16 L1. Journal of Molecular Biology 2001; 307(1): 173–182.
- Modis Y, Trus BL, Harrison SC. Atomic model of the papillomavirus capsid. EMBO Journal 2002; 21(18): 4754–4762.
- Wolf M, et al. Subunit interactions in bovine papillomavirus. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 2010; 107(14): 6298–6303.
- Rubio I, et al. The N-terminal region of the human papillomavirus L2 protein contains overlapping binding sites for neutralizing, cross-neutralizing and non-neutralizing antibodies. Virology 2011; 409(2): 348–359.
- Liu WJ, et al. Sequence close to the Nterminus of L2 protein is displayed on the surface of bovine papillomavirus type 1 virions. Virology 1997; 227(2): 474–483.
- Wang JW, Roden RB. L2, the minor capsid protein of papillomavirus. Virology 2013; 445(1–2): 175–186.
- 33. Dimaio D, Petti LM. The E5 proteins. *Virology* 2013; **445**(1–2): 99–114.
- Doorbar J. The E4 protein; structure, function and patterns of expression. Virology 2013; 445(1–2): 80–98.
- Nobre RJ, et al. E7 oncoprotein of novel human papillomavirus type 108 lacking the
 E6 gene induces dysplasia in organotypic
 keratinocyte cultures. Journal of Virology
 2009; 83(7): 2907–2916.
- Stanley M. Immune responses to human papillomavirus. Vaccine 2006; 24(Suppl 1): S16–S22.
- Bruni L, et al. Cervical human papillomavirus prevalence in 5 continents: meta-analysis of 1 million women with normal cytological findings. The Journal of Infectious Diseases 2010; 202(12): 1789–1799.

- Guan P, et al. Human papillomavirus types in 115,789 HPV-positive women: a meta-analysis from cervical infection to cancer. International Journal of Cancer Journal international du cancer 2012; 131(10): 2349–2359.
- Kreimer AR, et al. Oral human papillomavirus in healthy individuals: a systematic review of the literature. Sexually Transmitted Diseases 2010; 37(6): 386–391.
- Bouvard V, et al. A review of human carcinogens—Part B: biological agents. The Lancet Oncology 2009; 10(4): 321–322.
- Stanley M, Pinto LA, Trimble C. Human papillomavirus vaccines-immune responses. Vaccine 2012; 30(Suppl 5): F83–F87.
- Woodhall S, et al. Estimation of the impact of genital warts on health-related quality of life. Sexually Transmitted Infections 2008; 84(3): 161–166.
- Majewski S, Jablonska S. Human papillomavirus-associated tumors of the skin and mucosa. *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology* 1997; 36(5 Pt 1): 659–685; quiz 686-8.
- Chuang TY. Condylomata acuminata (genital warts): an epidemiologic view. *Journal of the American Academy of Derma*tology 1987; 16(2 Pt 1): 376–384.
- 45. Clifford GM, et al. Worldwide distribution of human papillomavirus types in cytologically normal women in the International Agency for Research on Cancer HPV prevalence surveys: a pooled analysis. Lancet 2005; 366(9490): 991–998.
- 46. Petry KU, et al. Prevalence of low-risk HPV types and genital warts in women born 1988/89 or 1983/84 -results of WOLVES, a population-based epidemiological study in Wolfsburg, Germany. BMC Infectious Diseases 2012; 12: 367.
- McKee PH, et al. Carcinoma cuniculatum: a cast metastasizing to skin and lymph nodes. Clinical and Experimental Dermatology 1981; 6(6): 613–618.
- Vera-Iglesias E, et al. Focal epithelial hyperplasia. Actas Dermo-Sifiliográficas 2007; 98(9): 621–623.
- 49. Bedoui S, et al. Cross-presentation of viral and self antigens by skin-derived CD103+

- dendritic cells. *Nature Immunology* 2009; **10**(5): 488–495.
- Jenkins D. Histopathology and cytopathology of cervical cancer. *Disease Markers* 2007; 23(4): 199–212.
- Solomon D, et al. The 2001 Bethesda System: terminology for reporting results of cervical cytology. JAMA, the Journal of the American Medical Association 2002; 287 (16): 2114–2119.
- Munoz N, et al. Epidemiologic classification of human papillomavirus types associated with cervical cancer. New England Journal of Medicine 2003; 348(6): 518–527.
- Hording U, et al. Human papillomaviruses and multifocal genital neoplasia. International Journal of Gynecological Pathology 1996; 15(3): 230–234.
- 54. Toki T, et al. Probable nonpapillomavirus etiology of squamous cell carcinoma of the vulva in older women: a clinicopathologic study using in situ hybridization and polymerase chain reaction. International Journal of Gynecological Pathology 1991; 10(2): 107–125.
- 55. Rubin MA, et al. Detection and typing of human papillomavirus DNA in penile carcinoma: evidence for multiple independent pathways of penile carcinogenesis. American Journal of Pathology 2001; 159(4): 1211–1218.
- 56. De Vuyst H, et al. Prevalence and type distribution of human papillomavirus in carcinoma and intraepithelial neoplasia of the vulva, vagina and anus: a metanalysis. International Journal of Cancer 2009; 124(7): 1626–1636.
- 57. Mehanna H, et al. Prevalence of human papillomavirus in oropharyngeal and nonoropharyngeal head and neck cancer —systematic review and meta-analysis of trends by time and region. Head and Neck 2013; 35(5): 747–755.
- 58. Herrero R, et al. Human papillomavirus and oral cancer: the International Agency for Research on Cancer multicenter study. Journal of the National Cancer Institute 2003; 95(23): 1772–1783.
- de Koning MN, et al. Evaluation of a novel broad-spectrum PCR-multiplex genotyping assay for identification of cutaneous wart-associated human papillomavirus

- types. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* 2010; **48**(5): 1706–1711.
- Harwood CA, et al. Human papillomavirus infection and non-melanoma skin cancer in immunosuppressed and immunocompetent individuals. *Journal of Medi*cal Virology 2000; 61(3): 289–297.
- Sterling JC, Handfield-Jones S, Hudson PM. Guidelines for the management of cutaneous warts. *The British Journal of Derma*tology 2001; 144(1): 4–11.
- Barrera-Oro JG, Smith KO, Melnick JL. Quantitation of papova virus particles in human warts. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute* 1962; 29: 583–595.
- Cubie HA. Diseases associated with human papillomavirus infection. Virology 2013; 445(1–2): 21–34.
- 64. Jablonska S, et al. Cutaneous warts. Clinics in Dermatology 1997; **15**(3): 309–319.
- Beutner KR, Becker TM, Stone KM. Epidemiology of human papillomavirus infections. *Dermatologic Clinics* 1991; 9(2): 211–218.
- 66. van Haalen FM, et al. Warts in primary schoolchildren: prevalence and relation with environmental factors. British Journal of Dermatology 2009; 161(1): 148–152.
- 67. Rubben A, Krones R, Schwetschenau B, et al. Common warts from immunocompetent patients show the same distribution of human papilloma-viruses types as common warts from immunocompromised patients. British Journal of Dermatology 1993; 128: 264–270.
- Rubben A, Kalka K, Spelten B, et al. Clinical features and age distribution of patients with HPV 2/27/57-induced common warts. Archives of Dermatological Research 1997; 289: 337–340.
- Hagiwara K, Uezato H, Arakaki H, et al. A
 genotype distribution of human papillomaviruses detected by polymerase chain
 reaction and direct sequencing analysis
 in a large sample of common warts in
 Japan. Journal of Medical Virology 2005; 77:
 107–112.
- Keefe M, et al. Cutaneous warts in butchers. British Journal of Dermatology 1994; 130(1): 9–14.
- 71. Grayson W. Infectious diseases of the skin. In Mckee's Skin Pathology with

- Clinical Correlations, Calonje BTE, Lazar A, Mckee P (eds). Elsevier: Toronto, 2012; 760–895.
- McKee PH, et al. Carcinoma (epithelioma) cuniculatum: a clinico-pathological study of nineteen cases and review of the literature. Histopathology 1981; 5(4): 425–436.
- Sterling JC. Viral infections. In Textbook of Dematology, Murns BST, Cox N, Griffiyths C(eds). Blackwell Science: Oxford, 2004; 37–60
- Egawa K, et al. Pigmented viral warts: a clinical and histopathological study including human papillomavirus typing. British Journal of Dermatology 1998; 138(3): 381–389.
- Egawa K, et al. Multiple plantar epidermoid cysts harboring carcinoembryonic antigen and human papillomavirus DNA sequences. Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology 1994; 30(3): 494–496.
- Egawa K, et al. Human papillomavirus 57 identified in a plantar epidermoid cyst. British Journal of Dermatology 1998; 138(3): 510–514.
- Meyer LM, Tyring SK,Little WP. Verrucous cyst. Archives of Dermatology 1991; 127(12): 1810–1812.
- Elston DM, Parker LU, Tuthill RJ. Epidermoid cyst of the scalp containing human papillomavirus. *Journal of Cutaneous Pathology* 1993; 20(2): 184–186.
- Egawa K, Egawa N, Honda Y. Human papillomavirus-associated plantar epidermoid cyst related to epidermoid metaplasia of the eccrine duct epithelium: a combined histological, immunohistochemical, DNA-DNA in situ hybridization and three-dimensional reconstruction analysis. British Journal of Dermatology 2005; 152(5): 961–967.
- Zheng S, et al. Human papillomaviruses of the mucosal type are present in some cases of extragenital Bowen's disease. British Journal of Dermatology 2005; 152(6): 1243–1247.
- Forslund O, et al. Cutaneous human papillomaviruses found in sun-exposed skin: beta-papillomavirus species 2 predominates in squamous cell carcinoma. Journal of Infectious Diseases 2007; 196(6): 876–883.

- Alam M, Caldwell JB, Eliezri YD. Human papillomavirus-associated digital squamous cell carcinoma: literature review and report of 21 new cases. *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology* 2003; 48 (3): 385–393.
- Bouwes Bavinck JN, et al. Multicenter study of the association between betapapillomavirus infection and cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma. Cancer Research 2010; 70(23): 9777–9786.
- Gariglio P, et al. The role of retinoid deficiency and estrogens as cofactors in cervical cancer. Archives of Medical Research 2009; 40(6): 449–465.
- Doorbar J. Molecular biology of human papillomavirus infection and cervical cancer. Clinical Science (London) 2006; 110(5): 525–541.
- 86. Melsheimer P, et al. DNA aneuploidy and integration of human papillomavirus type 16 e6/e7 oncogenes in intraepithelial neoplasia and invasive squamous cell carcinoma of the cervix uteri. Clinical Cancer Research 2004; 10(9): 3059–3063
- Egawa K. Do human papillomaviruses target epidermal stem cells? *Dermatology* 2003; 207(3): 251–254.
- Schmitt A, et al. The primary target cells of the high-risk cottontail rabbit papillomavirus colocalize with hair follicle stem cells. *Journal of Virology* 1996; 70(3): 1912–1922.
- Schelhaas M, et al. Entry of human papillomavirus type 16 by actin-dependent, clathrin- and lipid raft-independent endocytosis. PLoS Pathogens 2012; 8(4): e1002657.
- Smith JL, et al. Caveolin-1-dependent infectious entry of human papillomavirus type 31 in human keratinocytes proceeds to the endosomal pathway for pHdependent uncoating. Journal of Virology 2008; 82(19): 9505–9512.
- Bousarghin L, et al. Human papillomavirus types 16, 31, and 58 use different endocytosis pathways to enter cells. *Journal of Virology* 2003; 77(6): 3846–3850.
- Day PM, Lowy DR, Schiller JT. Papillomaviruses infect cells via a clathrindependent pathway. *Virology* 2003; 307(1): 1–11.

- Hindmarsh PL, Laimins LA. Mechanisms regulating expression of the HPV 31 L1 and L2 capsid proteins and pseudovirion entry. Virology Journal 2007; 4: 19.
- Pyeon D, et al. Establishment of human papillomavirus infection requires cell cycle progression. PLoS Pathogen 2009; 5(2): e1000318.
- Grayson W, et al. Detection of human papillomavirus in large cell neuroendocrine carcinoma of the uterine cervix: a study of 12 cases. Journal of Clinical Pathology 2002; 55(2): 108–114.
- 96. Gravitt PE, et al. Evaluation of self-collected cervicovaginal cell samples for human papillomavirus testing by polymerase chain reaction. Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers & Prevention: A Publication of the American Association for Cancer Research, Cosponsored by the American Society of Preventive Oncology 2001; 10(2): 95–100.
- Bouvard V, et al. Carcinogenicity of malaria and of some polyomaviruses. The Lancet Oncology 2012; 13(4): 339–340.
- Herfs M, et al. A discrete population of squamocolumnar junction cells implicated in the pathogenesis of cervical cancer. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 2012; 109 (26): 10516–10521.
- Parish JL, et al. ChlR1 is required for loading papillomavirus E2 onto mitotic chromosomes and viral genome maintenance. Molecular Cell 2006; 24(6): 867–876.
- 100. Maglennon GA, McIntosh P, Doorbar J, Persistence of viral DNA in the epithelial basal layer suggests a model for papillomavirus latency following immune regression. Virology 2011; 414(2): 153–163.
- 101. Kim K, Lambert PF. E1 protein of bovine papillomavirus 1 is not required for the maintenance of viral plasmid DNA replication. *Virology* 2002; 293(1): 10–14.
- Angeletti PC, et al. Stable replication of papillomavirus genomes in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Journal of Virology* 2002; 76 (7): 3350–3358.
- 103. Egawa N, et al. The E1 protein of human papillomavirus type 16 is dispensable for maintenance replication of the viral genome. Journal of Virology 2012; 86(6): 3276–3283.

- 104. Blakaj DM, et al. Evolutionary and biophysical relationships among the papillomavirus E2 proteins. Frontiers in Bioscience (Landmark Ed) 2009; 14: 900–917.
- 105. McBride AA. The papillomavirus E2 proteins. *Virology* 2013; **445**(1–2): 57–79.
- 106. McBride AA, Oliveira JG, McPhillips MG. Partitioning viral genomes in mitosis: same idea, different targets. *Cell Cycle* 2006; 5(14): 1499–1502.
- 107. Van Tine BA, et al. Human papillomavirus (HPV) origin-binding protein associates with mitotic spindles to enable viral DNA partitioning. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 2004; 101(12): 4030–4035.
- 108. Dao LD, et al. Dynamic localization of the human papillomavirus type 11 origin binding protein E2 through mitosis while in association with the spindle apparatus. Journal of Virology 2006; 80(10): 4792–4800.
- Parish JL, et al. The DNA helicase ChlR1 is required for sister chromatid cohesion in mammalian cells. *Journal of Cell Science* 2006; 119(Pt 23): 4857–4865.
- 110. Wang X, et al. Recruitment of Brd4 to the human papillomavirus type 16 DNA replication complex is essential for replication of viral DNA. *Journal of Virology* 2013; 87(7): 3871–3884.
- 111. Valencia C, et al. Human papillomavirus E6/E7 oncogenes promote mouse ear regeneration by increasing the rate of wound re-epithelization and epidermal growth. The Journal of Investigative Dermatology 2008; 128(12): 2894–2903.
- 112. Rosenberger S, et al. Alternative splicing of human papillomavirus type-16 E6/E6* early mRNA is coupled to EGF signaling via Erk1/2 activation. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 2010; 107(15): 7006–7011.
- Klingelhutz AJ, Roman A. Cellular transformation by human papillomaviruses: lessons learned by comparing high- and low-risk viruses. Virology 2012; 424(2): 77–98.
- 114. Isaacson Wechsler E, et al. Reconstruction of human papillomavirus type 16-mediated early-stage neoplasia implicates E6/E7 deregulation and the loss of contact inhibition in neoplastic

- progression. *Journal of Virology* 2012; **86** (11): 6358–6364.
- Barrow-Laing L, Chen W, Roman A. Lowand high-risk human papillomavirus E7 proteins regulate p130 differently. *Virology* 2010; 400(2): 233–239.
- 116. Roman A. The human papillomavirus E7 protein shines a spotlight on the pRB family member, p130. *Cell Cycle* 2006; **5**(6): 567–568.
- 117. Felsani A, Mileo AM, Paggi MG. Retinoblastoma family proteins as key targets of the small DNA virus oncoproteins. *Oncogene* 2006; 25(38): 5277–5285.
- 118. Phelps WC, et al. Structure-function analysis of the human papillomavirus type 16 E7 oncoprotein. Journal of Virology 1992; 66(4): 2418–2427.
- 119. Watanabe S, Yoshiike K. Transformation of rat 3Y1 cells by human papillomavirus type-18 DNA. *International Journal of Cancer* 1988; 41(6): 896–900.
- 120. Brehm A, et al. The E7 oncoprotein associates with Mi2 and histone deacetylase activity to promote cell growth. EMBO Journal 1999; 18(9): 2449–2458.
- 121. Nguyen DX, Westbrook TF, McCance DJ. Human papillomavirus type 16 E7 maintains elevated levels of the cdc25A tyrosine phosphatase during deregulation of cell cycle arrest. *Journal of Virology* 2002; 76(2): 619–632.
- 122. McLaughlin-Drubin ME, Crum CP, Munger K. Human papillomavirus E7 oncoprotein induces KDM6A and KDM6B histone demethylase expression and causes epigenetic reprogramming. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 2011; 108(5): 2130–2135.
- 123. Holland D, et al. Activation of the enhancer of zeste homologue 2 gene by the human papillomavirus E7 oncoprotein. Cancer Research 2008; 68 (23): 9964–9972.
- 124. La Celle PT, Polakowska RR. Human homeobox HOXA7 regulates keratinocyte transglutaminase type 1 and inhibits differentiation. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 2001; **276**(35): 32844–32853.
- 125. Morasso MI, Markova NG, Sargent TD. Regulation of epidermal differentiation by a Distal-less homeodomain gene.

- Journal of Cell Biology 1996; **135**(6 Pt 2): 1879–1887.
- Javier RT. Cell polarity proteins: common targets for tumorigenic human viruses. Oncogene 2008; 27(55): 7031–7046.
- 127. Culp TD, et al. Papillomavirus particles assembled in 293TT cells are infectious in vivo. Journal of Virology 2006; 80(22): 11381–11384.
- 128. Kranjec C, Banks L. A systematic analysis of human papillomavirus (HPV) E6 PDZ substrates identifies MAGI-1 as a major target of HPV type 16 (HPV-16) and HPV-18 whose loss accompanies disruption of tight junctions. *Journal of Virology* 2011; 85(4): 1757–1764.
- 129. Massimi P, et al. Regulation of the hDlg/hScrib/Hugl-1 tumour suppressor complex. Experimental Cell Research 2008; 314(18): 3306–3317.
- 130. Pim D, et al. Human papillomaviruses and the specificity of PDZ domain targeting. FEBS Journal 2012; 279(19): 3530–3537.
- 131. Thomas M, et al. Analysis of specificity determinants in the interactions of different HPV E6 proteins with their PDZ domain-containing substrates. Virology 2008; 376(2): 371–378.
- 132. Elsum I, et al. The Scribble-Dlg-Lgl polarity module in development and cancer: from flies to man. Essays in Biochemistry 2012; 53: 141–168.
- 133. Nicolaides L, *et al.* Stabilization of HPV16 E6 protein by PDZ proteins, and potential implications for genome maintenance. *Virology* 2011; **414**(2): 137–145.
- 134. Massimi P, et al. Phosphorylation of the discs large tumour suppressor protein controls its membrane localisation and enhances its susceptibility to HPV E6induced degradation. Oncogene 2006; 25 (31): 4276–4285.
- 135. Lee C, Laimins LA. Role of the PDZ domain-binding motif of the oncoprotein E6 in the pathogenesis of human papillomavirus type 31. *Journal of Virology* 2004; 78(22): 12366–12377.
- 136. Delury CP, et al. The role of protein kinase A regulation of the E6 PDZ-binding domain during the differentiationdependent life cycle of human

- papillomavirus type 18. *Journal of Virology* 2013; **87**(17): 9463–9472.
- 137. Russo AJ, et al. E2F-1 overexpression in U2OS cells increases cyclin B1 levels and cdc2 kinase activity and sensitizes cells to antimitotic agents. Cancer Research 2006; 66(14): 7253–7260.
- 138. Malanchi I, et al. Human papillomavirus type 16 E6 promotes retinoblastoma protein phosphorylation and cell cycle progression. Journal of Virology 2004; 78(24): 13769–13778.
- 139. Kuhne C, et al. Differential regulation of human papillomavirus E6 by protein kinase A: conditional degradation of human discs large protein by oncogenic E6. Oncogene 2000; 19(51): 5884–5891.
- 140. Boon SS, Banks L. High-risk human papillomavirus E6 oncoproteins interact with 14-3-3zeta in a PDZ binding motif-dependent manner. *Journal of Virology* 2013; 87(3): 1586–1595.
- 141. Galloway DA, et al. Regulation of telomerase by human papillomaviruses. Cold Spring Harbor Symposia on Quantitative Biology 2005; 70: 209–215.
- 142. Gewin L, Galloway DA. E box-dependent activation of telomerase by human papillomavirus type 16 E6 does not require induction of c-myc. *Journal of Virology* 2001; 75(15): 7198–7201.
- 143. Klingelhutz AJ, Foster SA, McDougall SA.
 Telomerase activation by the E6 gene product of human papillomavirus type 16. *Nature* 1996; **380**: 79–82.
- 144. Fu L, et al. Degradation of p53 by human Alphapapillomavirus E6 proteins shows a stronger correlation with phylogeny than oncogenicity. PLoS One 2010; 5(9): e12816.
- 145. Zanier K, et al. Solution structure analysis of the HPV16 E6 oncoprotein reveals a self-association mechanism required for E6-mediated degradation of p53. Structure 2012; 20(4): 604–617.
- 146. Pim D, Banks L. Interaction of viral oncoproteins with cellular target molecules: infection with high-risk vs low-risk human papillomaviruses. APMIS (Acta Pathologica, Microbiologica et Immunologica Scandinavica) 2010; 118 (6–7): 471–493.

- Tomaic V, Pim D, Banks L. The stability of the human papillomavirus E6 oncoprotein is E6AP dependent. *Virology* 2009; 393(1): 7–10.
- 148. White EA, et al. Systematic identification of interactions between host cell proteins and E7 oncoproteins from diverse human papillomaviruses. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 2012; 109(5): E260–E267.
- 149. Nomine Y, et al. Structural and functional analysis of E6 oncoprotein: insights in the molecular pathways of human papillomavirus-mediated pathogenesis.

 Molecular Cell 2006; 21(5): 665–678.
- Bodily J, Laimins LA. Persistence of human papillomavirus infection: keys to malignant progression. *Trends in Microbiology* 2011; 19(1): 33–39.
- 151. Banerjee NS, et al. Human papillomavirus (HPV) E7 induces prolonged G2 following S phase reentry in differentiated human keratinocytes. *Journal of Biological Chemis*try 2011; 286(17): 15473–15482.
- 152. Wang HK, et al. Robust production and passaging of infectious HPV in squamous epithelium of primary human keratinocytes. Genes & Development 2009; 23(2): 181–194.
- 153. Krawczyk E, et al. Koilocytosis: a cooperative interaction between the human papillomavirus E5 and E6 oncoproteins. The American Journal of Pathology 2008; 173(3): 682–688.
- 154. Krawczyk E, et al. Membrane orientation of the human papillomavirus type 16 E5 oncoprotein. Journal of Virology 2010; 84 (4): 1696–1703.
- 155. Kabsch K, et al. The HPV-16 E5 protein inhibits TRAIL-and FasL-mediated apoptosis in human keratinocyte raft cultures. Intervirology 2004; 47(1): 48–56.
- 156. Suprynowicz FA, et al. The human papillomavirus type 16 E5 oncoprotein inhibits epidermal growth factor trafficking independently of endosome acidification. Journal of Virology 2010; 84(20): 10619–10629.
- Thomsen P, et al. The HPV16 E5 oncogene inhibits endocytic trafficking. Oncogene 2000; 19(52): 6023–6032.
- 158. Genther SM, et al. Quantitative role of the human papillomavirus type 16 E5 gene

- during the productive stage of the viral life cycle. *Journal of Virology* 2003; 77(5): 2832–2842.
- 159. Fehrmann F, Klumpp DJ, Laimins LA. Human papillomavirus type 31 E5 protein supports cell cycle progression and activates late viral functions upon epithelial differentiation. *Journal of Virology* 2003; 77(5): 2819–2831.
- 160. Pim D, Collins M, Banks L. Human papillomavirus type 16 E5 gene stimulates the transforming activity of the epidermal growth factor receptor. *Oncogene* 1992; 7(1): 27–32.
- 161. Straight S, et al. The E5 oncoprotein of HPV16 transforms fibroblasts and effects the downregulation of the EGF receptor in keratinocytes. *Journal of Virology* 1993; 69: 4521–4532.
- 162. Crusius K, Rodriguez I, Alonso A. The human papillomavirus type 16 E5 protein modulates ERK1/2 and p38 MAP kinase activation by an EGFR-independent process in stressed human keratinocytes. Virus Genes 2000; 20(1): 65–69.
- 163. Crusius K, et al. The human papillomavirus type 16 E5-protein modulates ligand-dependent activation of the EGF receptor family in the human epithelial cell line HaCaT. Experimental Cell Research 1998; 241(1): 76–83.
- 164. Yu JH, et al. Mitogen-activated protein kinases activate the nuclear localization sequence of human papillomavirus type 11 E1 DNA helicase to promote efficient nuclear import. Journal of Virology 2007; 81 (10): 5066–5078.
- 165. Deng W, et al. Cyclin/CDK regulates the nucleocytoplasmic localization of the human papillomavirus E1 DNA helicase. Journal of Virology 2004; 78(24): 13954–13965.
- 166. Moody CA, et al. Human papillomaviruses activate caspases upon epithelial differentiation to induce viral genome amplification. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 2007; 104(49): 19541–19546.
- 167. Doorbar J, et al. Characterization of events during the late stages of HPV16 infection in vivo using high-affinity synthetic Fabs to E4. Virology 1997; 238(1): 40–52.

- 168. McIntosh PB, et al. Structural analysis reveals an amyloid form of the human papillomavirus type 16 E1^E4 protein and provides a molecular basis for its accumulation. *Journal of Virology* 2008; 82(16): 8196–8203.
- 169. Doorbar J, et al. Specific interaction between HPV-16 E1^E4 and cytokeratins results in collapse of the epithelial cell intermediate filament network. Nature 1991; 352(6338): 824–827.
- 170. Wang Q, et al. Functional analysis of the human papillomavirus type 16 E1^E4 protein provides a mechanism for in vivo and in vitro keratin filament reorganization. Journal of Virology 2004; 78(2): 821–833.
- 171. Gulliksen A, et al. Towards a "Sample-In, Answer-Out" Point-of-Care Platform for Nucleic Acid Extraction and Amplification: Using an HPV E6/E7 mRNA Model System. Journal of Oncology 2012; 2012: 905024.
- 172. Wilson R, Fehrmann F, Laimins LA. Role of the E1^E4 protein in the differentiation-dependent life cycle of human papillomavirus type 31. *Journal of Virology* 2005; **79**(11): 6732–6740.
- 173. Wilson R, et al. The full-length E1^E4 protein of human papillomavirus type 18 modulates differentiation-dependent viral DNA amplification and late gene expression. Virology 2007; 362(2): 453–460.
- 174. Nakahara T, et al. Human Papillomavirus
 Type 16 E1^E4 Contributes to Multiple
 Facets of the Papillomavirus Life Cycle.

 Journal of Virology 2005; 79(20):
 13150–13165.
- 175. Peh WL, et al. The viral E4 protein is required for the completion of the cottontail rabbit papillomavirus productive cycle in vivo. Journal of Virology 2004; 78(4): 2142–2151.
- 176. Ozbun MA, Meyers C. Human papillomavirus type 31b E1 and E2 transcript expression correlates with vegetative viral genome amplification. *Virology* 1998; 248(2): 218–230.
- 177. Johansson C, et al. HPV-16 E2 contributes to induction of HPV-16 late gene expression by inhibiting early polyadenylation. The EMBO Journal 2012; 31(14): 3212–3227.

- Doorbar J. The papillomavirus life cycle. *Journal of Clinical Virology* 2005; 32(Suppl): 7–15.
- 179. Milligan SG, et al. Analysis of novel human papillomavirus type 16 late mRNAs in differentiated W12 cervical epithelial cells. Virology 2007; 360(1): 172–181.
- 180. Holmgren SC, et al. The minor capsid protein L2 contributes to two steps in the human papillomavirus type 31 life cycle. Journal of Virology 2005; 79(7): 3938–3948.
- 181. Day PM, et al. The papillomavirus minor capsid protein, L2, induces localization of the major capsid protein, L1, and the viral transcription/replication protein, E2, to PML oncogenic domains. Journal of Virology 1998; 72(1): 142–150.
- Buck CB, et al. Maturation of papillomavirus capsids. *Journal of Virology* 2005; 79(5): 2839–2846.
- Finnen RL, et al. Interactions between papillomavirus L1 and L2 capsid proteins. Journal of Virology 2003; 77(8): 4818–4826.
- 184. Brown DR, et al. The human papillomavirus type 11 E1^E4 protein is a transglutaminase 3 substrate and induces abnormalities of the cornified cell envelope. Virology 2006; 345(1): 290–298.
- 185. Middleton K, et al. Organization of human papillomavirus productive cycle during neoplastic progression provides a basis for selection of diagnostic markers. Journal of Virology 2003; 77(19): 10186–10201.
- 186. McLaughlin-Drubin ME, Munger K. The human papillomavirus E7 oncoprotein. *Virology* 2009; **384**(2): 335–344.
- 187. Duensing S, Munger K. The human papillomavirus type 16 E6 and E7 oncoproteins independently induce numerical and structural chromosome instability. *Cancer Research* 2002; **62**(23): 7075–7082.
- 188. Duensing S, Munger K. Human papillomavirus type 16 E7 oncoprotein can induce abnormal centrosome duplication through a mechanism independent of inactivation of retinoblastoma protein family members. *Journal of Virology* 2003; 77(22): 12331–12335.
- 189. Duensing S, Munger K. Mechanisms of genomic instability in human cancer: insights from studies with human

- papillomavirus oncoproteins. *International Journal of Cancer* 2004; **109**(2): 157–162.
- 190. Korzeniewski N, et al. Genomic instability and cancer: lessons learned from human papillomaviruses. Cancer Letters 2011; 305(2): 113–122.
- 191. Duensing A, et al. Centrosome overduplication, chromosomal instability, and human papillomavirus oncoproteins. Environmental and Molecular Mutagenesis 2009; 50(8): 741–747.
- 192. Oh ST, Longworth MS, Laimins LA. Roles of the E6 and E7 proteins in the life cycle of low-risk human papillomavirus type 11. *Journal of Virology* 2004; **78**(5): 2620–2626.
- 193. Park RB, Androphy EJ. Genetic analysis of high-risk e6 in episomal maintenance of human papillomavirus genomes in primary human keratinocytes. *Journal of Virology* 2002; 76(22): 11359–11364.
- 194. Thomas MC, Chiang CM. E6 oncoprotein represses p53-dependent gene activation via inhibition of protein acetylation independently of inducing p53 degradation. *Molecular Cell* 2005; 17(2): 251–264.
- 195. Patel D, et al. The E6 protein of human papillomavirus type 16 binds to and inhibits co-activation by CBP and p300. EMBO Journal 1999; **18**(18): 5061–5072.
- 196. Pietsch EC, Murphy ME. Low risk HPV-E6 traps p53 in the cytoplasm and induces p53-dependent apoptosis. *Cancer Biology and Therapy* 2008; 7(12): 1916–1918.
- 197. Shamanin VA, Sekaric P, Androphy EJ. hAda3 degradation by papillomavirus type 16 E6 correlates with abrogation of the p14ARF-p53 pathway and efficient immortalization of human mammary epithelial cells. *Journal of Virology* 2008; 82(8): 3912–3920.
- Sekaric P, et al. hAda3 regulates p14ARFinduced p53 acetylation and senescence. Oncogene 2007; 26(43): 6261–6268.
- 199. Paavonen J, et al. Efficacy of a prophylactic adjuvanted bivalent L1 virus-like-particle vaccine against infection with human papillomavirus types 16 and 18 in young women: an interim analysis of a phase III double-blind, randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2007; **369**(9580): 2161–2170.
- 200. Paavonen J, et al. Efficacy of human papillomavirus (HPV)-16/18 AS04-adjuvanted vaccine against cervical infection and

- precancer caused by oncogenic HPV types (PATRICIA): final analysis of a double-blind, randomised study in young women. *Lancet* 2009; **374**(9686): 301–314.
- 201. Szarewski A, et al. Efficacy of the human papillomavirus (HPV)-16/18 AS04adjuvanted vaccine in women aged 15–25 years with and without serological evidence of previous exposure to HPV-16/ 18. International Journal of Cancer 2012; 131 (1): 106–116.
- 202. Quint W, et al. One virus, one lesion—individual components of CIN lesions contain a specific HPV type. The Journal of Pathology 2012; 227(1): 62–71.
- 203. Ding DC, et al. Methylation of the long control region of HPV16 is related to the severity of cervical neoplasia. European Journal of Obstetrics, Gynecology, and Reproductive Biology 2009; 147(2): 215–220.
- 204. Pater MM, et al. Glucocorticoid-dependent oncogenic transformation by type 16 but not type 11 human papilloma virus DNA. Nature 1988; 335(6193): 832–835.
- 205. Piccini A, et al. Regulation of human papillomavirus type 16 DNA replication by E2, glucocorticoid hormone and epidermal growth factor. *Journal of General Virology* 1997; 78(Pt 8): 1963–1970.
- 206. Arbeit JM, Howley PM, HanahanD. Chronic estrogen-induced cervical and vaginal squamous carcinogenesis in human papillomavirus type 16 transgenic mice. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 1996; 93(7): 2930–2935.
- 207. Vinokurova S, von Knebel Doeberitz M. Differential methylation of the HPV 16 upstream regulatory region during epithelial differentiation and neoplastic transformation. *PLoS One* 2011; 6(9): e24451.
- Laurson J, et al. Epigenetic repression of Ecadherin by human papillomavirus 16 E7 protein. Carcinogenesis 2010; 31(5): 918–926.
- 209. Hyland PL, et al. Evidence for alteration of EZH2, BMI1, and KDM6A and epigenetic reprogramming in human papillomavirus type 16 E6/E7-expressing keratinocytes. Journal of Virology 2011; 85(21): 10999–11006.

- Thorland EC, et al. Common fragile sites are preferential targets for HPV16 integrations in cervical tumors. Oncogene 2003; 22(8): 1225–1237.
- 211. Yu T, et al. The role of viral integration in the development of cervical cancer. Cancer Genetics and Cytogenetics 2005; 158(1): 27–34.
- 212. Wentzensen N, et al. Characterization of viral-cellular fusion transcripts in a large series of HPV16 and 18 positive anogenital lesions. Oncogene 2002; 21(3): 419–426.
- 213. Jeon S, Allen-Hoffmann BL, Lambert PF. Integration of human papillomavirus type 16 into the human genome correlates with a selective growth advantage of cells. *Journal of Virology* 1995; 69(5): 2989–2997.
- 214. Jeon S, Lambert PF. Integration of human papillomavirus type 16 DNA into the human genome leads to increased stability of E6 and E7 mRNAs: implications for cervical carcinogenesis. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 1995; 92(5): 1654–1658.
- 215. Pett MR, et al. Acquisition of high-level chromosomal instability is associated with integration of human papillomavirus type 16 in cervical keratinocytes. Cancer Research 2004; 64(4): 1359–1368.
- 216. Hafner N, et al. Integration of the HPV16 genome does not invariably result in high levels of viral oncogene transcripts. Oncogene 2008; 27(11): 1610–1617.
- Vinokurova S, et al. Type-dependent integration frequency of human papillomavirus genomes in cervical lesions. Cancer Research 2008; 68(1): 307–313.
- 218. Pett M, Coleman N. Integration of highrisk human papillomavirus: a key event in cervical carcinogenesis? *Journal of Pathology* 2007; **212**(4): 356–367.
- 219. Matsukura T, Koi S, Sugase M. Both episomal and integrated forms of human papillomavirus type 16 are involved in invasive cervical cancers. *Virology* 1989; 172(1): 63–72.
- 220. Fehrmann F, Laimins LA. Human papillomaviruses: targeting differentiating epithelial cells for malignant transformation. *Oncogene* 2003; **22**(33): 5201–5207.
- 221. Badaracco *G, et al.* HPV16 and HPV18 in genital tumors: significantly different

- levels of viral integration and correlation to tumor invasiveness. *Journal of Medical Virology* 2002; **67**(4): 574–582.
- Woodman CB, et al. Human papillomavirus type 18 and rapidly progressing cervical intraepithelial neoplasia. Lancet 2003; 361(9351): 40–43.
- 223. Cullen AP, et al. Analysis of the physical state of different human papillomavirus DNAs in intraepithelial and invasive cervical neoplasms. *Journal of Virology* 1991; 65: 606–612.
- 224. Pirami L, Giache V, Becciolini A. Analysis of HPV16, 18, 31, and 35 DNA in preinvasive and invasive lesions of the uterine cervix. *Journal of Clinical Pathology* 1997; 50(7): 600–604.
- 225. Schiffman M, et al. A population-based prospective study of carcinogenic human papillomavirus variant lineages, viral persistence, and cervical neoplasia. Cancer Research 2010; 70(8): 3159–3169.
- 226. Koshiol JE, et al. Time to clearance of human papillomavirus infection by type and human immunodeficiency virus serostatus. International Journal of Cancer 2006; 119(7): 1623–1629.
- 227. de Sanjose S, et al. Human papillomavirus genotype attribution in invasive cervical cancer: a retrospective cross-sectional worldwide study. The Lancet Oncology 2010; 11(11): 1048–1056.
- Nicholls P, et al. Naturally occurring, nonregressing canine oral papillomavirus infection: host immunity, virus characterization, and experimental infection. Virology 1999; 265(2): 365–374.
- 229. Nicholls PK, et al. Regression of canine oral papillomas is associated with infiltration of CD4+ and CD8+ lymphocytes. Virology 2001; 283(1): 31–39.
- 230. Wilgenburg BJ, et al. Characterization of immune responses during regression of rabbit oral papillomavirus infections. Comparative Medicine 2005; 55(5): 431–439.
- 231. Monnier-Benoit S, et al. Immunohistochemical analysis of CD4+ and CD8+ Tcell subsets in high risk human papillomavirus-associated pre-malignant and malignant lesions of the uterine

- cervix. *Gynecologic Oncology* 2006; **102**(1): 22–31.
- Stanley MA. Epithelial cell responses to infection with human papillomavirus. Clinical Microbiology Reviews 2012; 25(2): 215–222
- 233. Kanodia S, Fahey LM, Kast WM. Mechanisms used by human papillomaviruses to escape the host immune response. Current Cancer Drug Targets 2007; 7(1): 79–89.
- 234. Li S, et al. The human papilloma virus (HPV)-18 E6 oncoprotein physically associates with Tyk2 and impairs Jak-STAT activation by interferon-alpha. Oncogene 1999; 18(42): 5727–5737.
- 235. Nees M, et al. Papillomavirus type 16 oncogenes downregulate expression of interferon-responsive genes and upregulate proliferation-associated and NF-kappaB-responsive genes in cervical keratinocytes. *Journal of Virology* 2001; 75(9): 4283–4296.
- 236. Perea SE, Massimi P, Banks L. Human papillomavirus type 16 E7 impairs the activation of the interferon regulatory factor-1. *International Journal of Molecular Medicine* 2000; 5(6): 661–666.
- Um SJ, et al. Abrogation of IRF-1 response by high-risk HPV E7 protein in vivo. Cancer Letters 2002; 179(2): 205–212.
- 238. Caberg JH, et al. Silencing of E7 oncogene restores functional E-cadherin expression in human papillomavirus 16-transformed keratinocytes. Carcinogenesis 2008; 29(7): 1441–1447.
- 239. Matthews K, et al. Depletion of Langerhans cells in human papillomavirus type 16-infected skin is associated with E6-mediated down regulation of Ecadherin. Journal of Virology 2003; 77(15): 8378–8385.
- 240. Zhou F, Chen J, Zhao KN. Human papillomavirus 16-encoded E7 protein inhibits IFN-gamma-mediated MHC class I antigen presentation and CTL-induced lysis by blocking IRF-1 expression in mouse keratinocytes. *Journal of General Virology* 2013; 94(Pt 11): 2504–2514.
- 241. Bottley G, et al. High-risk human papillomavirus E7 expression reduces cellsurface MHC class I molecules and

- increases susceptibility to natural killer cells. *Oncogene* 2008; **27**(12): 1794–1799.
- 242. Georgopoulos NT, Proffitt JL, Blair GE. Transcriptional regulation of the major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class I heavy chain, TAP1 and LMP2 genes by the human papillomavirus (HPV) type 6b, 16 and 18 E7 oncoproteins. *Oncogene* 2000; 19(42): 4930–4935.
- 243. Ashrafi GH, et al. E5 protein of human papillomavirus 16 downregulates HLA class I and interacts with the heavy chain via its first hydrophobic domain. *Interna*tional Journal of Cancer 2006; 119(9): 2105–2112.
- 244. Merad M, Ginhoux F, Collin M. Origin, homeostasis and function of Langerhans cells and other langerin-expressing dendritic cells. *Nature Reviews. Immunology* 2008; 8(12): 935–947.
- 245. Maglennon GA, McIntosh PB, Doorbar J. Immunosuppression facilitates the reactivation of latent papillomavirus infections. *Journal of Virology* 2013; 88(1): 710–716.
- 246. de Jong A, et al. Human papillomavirus type 16-positive cervical cancer is associated with impaired CD4+ T-cell immunity against early antigens E2 and E6. Cancer Research 2004; 64(15): 5449–5455.
- 247. Welters MJ, et al. Frequent display of human papillomavirus type 16 E6specific memory t-helper cells in the healthy population as witness of previous viral encounter. Cancer Research 2003; 63(3): 636–641.
- 248. Ades S, et al. Selected class I and class II HLA alleles and haplotypes and risk of high-grade cervical intraepithelial neoplasia. *International Journal of Cancer* 2008; 122(12): 2820–2826.
- 249. Sheu BC, et al. Integration of high-risk human papillomavirus DNA correlates with HLA genotype aberration and reduced HLA class I molecule expression in human cervical carcinoma. Clinical Immunology 2005; 115(3): 295–301.
- 250. Zoodsma M, et al. HLA genes and other candidate genes involved in susceptibility for (pre)neoplastic cervical disease. International Journal of Oncology 2005; 26(3): 769–784.